



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING FOR JUNE CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

May 16, 2011

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM

All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, June 13, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 06-70398 Segundo-Sanchez v. Holder
- () * 07-73360 Valencia v. Holder
- () * 07-73429 Singh v. Holder
- () ** 10-16091 Ulloa v. Potter
- () ** 10-16092 Sun Life Assurance Co. v. Marshall & Ilsley Trust
- () ** 10-16152 The Estate of Amaro v. City of Oakland <note new date

Monday, June 13, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 10-15776 Craig v. City of Stockton
- () * 07-72885 Ahmed v. Holder
- () * 08-72076 Padernal-Nye v. Holder
- () ** 09-72903 Cruz v. Holder
- () ** 10-16168 Peterson v. Washoe County
- () ** 10-16201 Collins Development, Inc. v. FDIC

Tuesday, June 14, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 10-15816 Mitchell v. Astrue
- () * 10-16112 Mattson v. FBI <note added case
- () * 07-72696 Tse v. Holder
- () ** 07-74466 Famacion v. Holder
- () ** 10-16384 SEC v. Gewerter
- () ** 10-16387 Bowman v. Honeywell Int'l, Inc.

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE
[email: ndacalendar@ca9.uscourts.gov subject: SF Hearing Notice]

Tuesday, June 14, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 09-72089 Bertrand v. Holder
() * 10-16267 Lewis v. Chambliss
() * 10-15755 Grantham v. Cory
() ** 10-16316 Ikekwere v. Foothill DeAnza CCD
() ** 10-16343 Madrid v. Concho Elem. Sch. Dist.
() ** 10-71854 CIR v. Estate of Petter

Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 10-16477 Velez v. Rehab Results Inc. <note added case
() * 08-17452 Grecu v. Evens
() * 10-16177 Johnson v. Yates
() ** 09-71571 Henriquez-Rivas v. Holder. <note added case
() ** 10-16074 Dalton v. Pepsi Americas. <note added case
() ** 10-16189 Cosby v. Autozone, Inc.

Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 07-72799 Li v. Holder
() * 07-74376 Liu v. Holder
() * 10-15965 Pitts v. Terrible Herbst, Inc.
() ** 10-15480 Kirkish v. Mesa Imports, Inc.
() ** 10-16544 Scott v. City of Phoenix
() ** 10-16441 eForce Global, Inc. v. Bank of America Corp.

Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 09-15587 Plummer v. McDaniel
() * 10-15943 Piatz v. Astrue
() * 10-16038 Atwell v. City of Surprise <note added case
() ** 08-17018 Flores v. Verdugo
() ** 10-15167) Miranda v. Braatz
10-15308)
() ** 10-16443 Bingham McCutchen v. USACM Trust

Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 07-72396 Singh v. Holder
() * 07-73589 Singh v. Holder
() * 10-10274 United States v. Giannini
() ** 10-16076 Holtsinger v. Voros
() ** 10-71441 Ford v. NCUA
() ** 10-16459 Jensen v. County of Sonoma

Friday, June 17, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 10-15049 Warren v. Adams
() * 10-15880 Ochoa v. Astrue
() * 10-15920 The Sequoias v. SEIU
() ** 05-75736 Graham v. Holder
() ** 10-16347 Scheitlin v. Freescale Semiconductor
() ** 10-72082) Service Employees Int'l Union v. NLRB <note added case
10-71182) 10-72481)

Friday, June 17, 2011 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 10-15803 Morris v. Carey
() * 10-16132 Tibbs v. Adams
() * 10-16293 Kitchen v. Felker
() ** 10-15989) Fox v. Good Samaritan Hospital
10-16701)
() ** 10-16209 Metabolic Research v. Ferrell
() ** 10-16490 Colonial Med. Grp. v. Cath. Healthcare

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE

[email: ndacalendar@ca9.uscourts.gov subject: SF Hearing Notice]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.