

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR PORTLAND, OREGON**

May 31, 2011

**June 6 - 10, 2011**

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 6	9:30 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: FISHER, GOULD, PAEZ, CJJ		
10-35877	E.J. v. MCA Healthcare Trust	Federal	Montana
09-35857	United States v. Carlsen	Habeas	Montana
10-30270	United States v. Bendshadler	Criminal	Oregon
10-35773	Desrosiers v. Hudson Specialty Ins. Co.	Diversity	Oregon
10-35636	Glenn v. Washington County	Rights	Oregon
10-35850)	Close v. Thomas	Habeas	Oregon
10-35851)	10-35852) 10-35853) 10-35854) 10-35855)		
10-35856)	10-35857) 10-35858) 10-35859)		
Tue. 7	9:30 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: FISHER, GOULD, PAEZ, CJJ		
09-35684	Ashley v. Nooth	Habeas	Oregon
10-35823	Citizens for Balanced Use v. Erickson	Civil v. US	Montana
09-36051)	Citizens for Balanced Use v. McAllister	Civil v. US	Montana
09-36058)	09-36080)		
10-35623)	Russell Country Sportsmen v. USFS	Civil by US	Montana
10-35784)			
Tue. 7	2:00 p.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: CANBY, GRABER, PAEZ, CJJ		
10-72981	Local Jt Executive Board of Las Vegas v NLRB	OtherAdm	N. Cal
Wed. 8	9:30 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: FISHER, GOULD, PAEZ, CJJ		
10-35730	Williamson v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Oregon
10-35574	Boyer v. Belleque	Habeas	Oregon
10-30214	United States v. Yarlott	Criminal	Montana
10-30222	United States v. Kortlander	Criminal	Montana
10-30274	United States v. LaBuff	Criminal	Montana
10-30277	United States v. Jenkins	Criminal	Montana
08-71827)	Montana Consumer Counsel v. FERC	Other	Montana
08-74439)	08-74443)		



**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR PORTLAND, OREGON**

**June 6 - 10, 2011**

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Thu. 9	9:30 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: FISHER, GOULD, PAEZ, CJJ		
09-35127	United States v. Smith	Habeas	Montana
10-35757	Driver v. Landers	Habeas	Oregon
10-36031	Lacy v. Nooth	Habeas	Oregon
10-35489	Ansel Capital Investment, LLC v. Marchant	Tax	Montana
10-35551	Emeldi v. University of Oregon	Federal	Oregon
Fri. 10	9:30 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: FISHER, GOULD, PAEZ, CJJ		
10-35661	Graham v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Oregon
10-35708	McKay v. Morris	Rights	Oregon
10-35729	Cox v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Federal	Oregon

**Location of Hearing:**

**U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Pioneer Courthouse  
700 SW Sixth Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Portland, Oregon 97204**

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the Pioneer Courthouse

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

## **U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**