June 8, 2011

June 13 - 17, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	Nature	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 13	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor		
1,1011, 13	Before: SCHROEDER, RIPPLE (7th Cir.), GRABER, C	ĊIJ	
06-70398	Segundo-Sanchez v. Holder	Imm Non-	N.Cal
07-73360	Valencia v. Holder	Imm Asy	S. Nev
07-73429	Singh v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-16091	Ulloa v. Potter	Civil v. US	Arizona
10-16092	Sun Life Assurance Co. v. Marshall & Ilsley Trust	Diversity	Arizona
10-16443	Bingham McCutchen v. USACM Trust	Bankruptcy	S. Nev
Mon. 13	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor		
141011. 13	Before: O'SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, CJJ; HAYES (S. Ca	ıl.). DJ	
10-15776	Craig v. City of Stockton	Rights	E. Cal
07-72885	Ahmed v. Holder	Imm Non-	Arizona
08-72076	Padernal-Nye v. Holder	Imm Non-	S. Nev
09-72903	Cruz v. Holder	Imm Non-	Arizona
10-16168	Peterson v. Washoe County	Federal	N.Nev
10-16201	Collins Development, Inc. v. FDIC	Civil v. US	S. Nev
Tue. 14	8:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor < note new star	tina time	
100.1.	Before: SCHROEDER, BEA, CJJ; ANELLO (S. Cal.),	•	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
10-16112	Mattson v. FBI	Civil v. US	N.Cal
07-72696	Tse v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
07-74466	Famacion v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-15816	Mitchell v. Astrue	Civil v. US	E. Cal
10-15880	Ochoa v. Astrue	Civil v. US	E. Cal
10-16384	SEC v. Gewerter	Civil by US	S. Nev
10-16387	Bowman v. Honeywell Int'l, Inc.	Diversity	Arizona

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse, United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street, San Francisco, California 94103

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse

June 13 - 17, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Tue. 14	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: O'SCANNLAIN, FERNANDEZ, BYBEE, CJJ		
09-72089	Bertrand v. Holder	Imm Asy	Arizona
10-15755	Grantham v. Cory	Bankruptcy	S. Nev
10-16316	Ikekwere v. Foothill DeAnza CCD	Federal	N.Cal
10-16343	Madrid v. Concho Elem. Sch. Dist.	Federal	Arizona
10-71854	CIR v. Estate of Petter	Tax	W. Wash
Wed. 15	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: SCHROEDER, RIPPLE (7 th Cir.), BEA, CJJ		
10-16477	Velez v. Rehab Results Inc.	Federal	S.Nev
08-17452	Grecu v. Evens	Habeas	N.Cal
10-16177	Johnson v. Yates	Habeas	E. Cal
09-71571	Henriquez-Rivas v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-16074	Dalton v. Pepsi Americas	Diversity	N.Cal
10-16189	Cosby v. Autozone, Inc.	Diversity	E. Cal
Wed. 15	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: FERNANDEZ, BYBEE, MURGUIA, CJJ		
10-16441	eForce Global, Inc. v. Bank of America Corp	Diversity	N.Cal
	Before: FERNANDEZ, BYBEE, CJJ; SINGLETON (Al	aska), DJ	
07-72799	Li v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
07-74376	Liu v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-15480	Kirkish v. Mesa Imports, Inc.	Federal	Arizona
10-16544	Scott v. City of Phoenix	Federal	Arizona
10-15965	Pitts v. Terrible Herbst, Inc.	Federal	S. Nev
	,		

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse www.ca9.uscourts.gov

June 13 - 17, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	Nature	<u>Origin</u>
Thu. 16	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: SCHROEDER, BEA, CJJ; SAMMARTINO, (S	. Cal.), DJ	
09-15587	Plummer v. McDaniel	Habeas	N.Nev
10-15943	Piatz v. Astrue	Civil v. US	E. Cal
10-16038	Atwell v. City of Surprise	Federal	Arizona
08-17018	Flores v. Verdugo	Federal	Arizona
10-15167)	Miranda v. Braatz	Habeas	Arizona
10-15308)			
10-16152	The Estate of Amaro v. City of Oakland	Rights	N.Cal
Thu. 16	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor		
1114. 10	Before: BYBEE, MURGUIA, CJJ; EZRA (Hawaii), DJ		
	,,,,		
07-73589	Singh v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-10274	United States v. Giannini	Criminal	E. Cal
10-16076	Holtsinger v. Voros	Prisoner	E. Cal
10-71441	Ford v. NCUA	Other Admin	N.Cal
10-16459	Jensen v. County of Sonoma	Rights	N.Cal
Fri. 17	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: SCHROEDER, RIPPLE (7th Cir.), BEA, CJJ		
10-15049	Warren v. Adams	Habeas	E. Cal
10-15049	The Sequoias v. SEIU	Federal	N.Cal
05-75736	Graham v. Holder	Imm Non-	Arizona
10-72082)		Other Adm	S. Cal
10-72082)	10-72481)	Ouici Auili	s. Cai
10-72102)	10-12-01)		

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street, San Francisco, California 94103

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse <u>www.ca9.uscourts.gov</u>

June 13 - 17, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	Nature	<u>Origin</u>
Fri. 17	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: BYBEE, MURGUIA, CJJ; SINGLETON (Alas	ska), DJ	
10-15803	Morris v. Carey	Habeas	E. Cal
10-16132	Tibbs v. Adams	Habeas	E. Cal
10-16293	Kitchen v. Felker	Habeas	E. Cal
10-15989)	Fox v. Good Samaritan Hospital	Federal	N.Cal
10-16701)			
10-16209	Metabolic Research v. Ferrell	Diversity	S. Nev
10-16490	Colonial Med. Grp. v. Cath. Healthcard	Federal	N. Cal

Judges Sitting by Designation:

Hon. Kenneth F. Ripple, Senior US Circuit Judge for the Seventh Circuit

Hon. Michael M. Anello, US District Judge for the Southern District of California

Hon. David A. Ezra, US District Judge for the District of Hawaii

Hon. William Q. Haves, US District Judge for the Southern District of California

Hon. Janis Lynn Sammartino, US District Judge for the Southern District of California

Hon. James K. Singleton, Senior US District Judge for the District of Alaska

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California 94103

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse <u>www.ca9.uscourts.gov</u>
Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

 Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.

Adopted June 23, 2010.