

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**



October 14, 2011

October 24 - 28, 2011

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 24	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: GRABER, IKUTA, CJJ; KAPLAN (S. New York), DJ		
10-16578	Molina v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Arizona
10-16663	Bemo USA Corp. v. Jake's Crane Rigging & Trans	Diversity	S. Nev
10-16710	Barber v. Encompass Indem. Co.	Diversity	Arizona
10-16718	Baca v. Crown	Diversity	Arizona
10-17386	Stonebarger v. Williams	Habeas	S. Nev
Mon. 24	2:00 p.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: WALLACE, THOMAS, GRABER, CJJ		
10-17136	Aloe Vera of America, Inc. v. U.S.	Civil v. US	Arizona
Tue. 25	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: GRABER, IKUTA, CJJ; QUIST (W. Michigan), DJ		
06-75168	Rojas v. Holder	Imm Non-	N.Cal
07-74407	Mitoyan v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
09-15429	McElyea v. Ryan	Habeas	Arizona
10-15267	United States v. Astorga	Habeas	E. Cal
10-16570)	Mitchel v. City of Santa Rosa	Rights	N.Cal
10-16694)			
10-10381	United States v. Yeung	Criminal	N.Cal

Location of Hearing:

**James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103**

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 
Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

October 24 - 28, 2011

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Wed. 26	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: GRABER, IKUTA, CJJ; KAPLAN (S. New York), DJ		
07-73557	Singh v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-16538	Arago v. Astrue	Civil v. US	N.Cal
11-10008	United States v. Esquivel-Miranda	Criminal	Arizona
10-16709	Metropolitan Prop. and Cas. Ins. Co. v. Gilson	Diversity	Arizona
10-16713	Jones v. MetLife Ins. Co.	Federal	N.Cal
10-16727	USA v. Louis	Civil by US	Arizona
10-16769	NC-DSH, Inc. v. SEIU	Federal	S. Nev
10-16832	SEIU v. Prime Health Care Servs.	Federal	E. Cal
Thu. 27	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: GRABER, IKUTA, CJJ; QUIST (W. Michigan), DJ		
07-74164	Babbar v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
07-74960	Piche Cordell v. Holder	Imm Non-	S. Nev
10-16318	United States v. Fuentes	Habeas	Arizona
10-16970)	Klestadt & Winters v. Leonard	Bankruptcy	N.Nev
10-16972)	10-16974)		
10-17172	Look v. Donahue	Civil v. US	N.Cal
10-72728)	Plaza Auto Center, Inc. v. NLRB	Other	Arizona
10-73125)			
Fri. 28	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: GRABER, IKUTA, CJJ; KAPLAN (S. New York), DJ		
05-77432	Gunawan v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
07-74554	Singh v. Holder	Imm Asy	N.Cal
10-16803	Sapukotana v. Van Straalen Farm Trucking	Diversity	Arizona

Location of Hearing:

**James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

October 24 - 28, 2011



Judges Sitting by Designation:

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan, Senior US District Judge for the Southern District of New York

Hon. Gordon J. Quist, Senior US District Judge for the Western District of Michigan

Location of Hearing:

**James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103**

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY**

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.