November 7, 2011

November 14 - 18, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 14 9: 30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor <note (w.="" bea,="" before:="" cjj;="" dj<="" louisiana),="" new="" noonan,="" starting="" td="" time="" walter=""></note>			
08-70232 08-70449 11-10013 09-17449 10-17118 11-15085 Mon. 14	Morales Mendez v. Holder Azizi v. Holder United States v. Lopez-Avila Cruz v. Int'l Collection Corp. Asher v. LVMP G.M. v. Drycreek Elementary Sch. Dist. 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3 rd Floor	Imm Asy Imm Asy Criminal Federal Rights Federal	N. Cal. N. Cal. Arizona N. Cal. S. Nev. E. Cal.
Before: HAWKINS, M. SMITH, CJJ; DUFFY (S. New York), DJ			
10-17032 07-75069 10-16596 10-16994 10-17646	Blye v. Kozinski Shah v. Holder Briscoe v. Scribner London v. Sears, Roebuck & Co. Moore v. Duncan	Civil v. US Imm Asy Habeas Diversity Habeas	N. Cal. N. Cal. E. Cal. N. Cal. E. Cal.
Mon. 14	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: THOMAS, GOULD, BYBEE, CJJ		
08-70514 08-70627 10-10327 10-15343) 10-15526) 10-15578 10-16684) 10-16730)	Singh v. Holder Singh v. Holder United States v. Escobar-Garcia Ashker v. Cate Atterbury v. Cooper California Dairies, Inc. v. RSUI Indem. Co.	Imm Asy Imm Asy Criminal Rights Prisoner Diversity	N. Cal. N. Cal. Arizona N. Cal. N. Cal. E. Cal.

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California 94103

November 14 - 18, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Tue. 15	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor Before: KOZINSKI, BEA, CJJ; GETTLEMAN (N.	Illinois) DI	
	beloic. Rozinski, bea, cii, dei ileman (iv.	Illinois), Di	
07-73785	Davila v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. Cal.
08-70109	Parmar v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. Cal.
09-15399	Meras v. Sisto	Habeas	E. Cal.
10-16153)	In re SK Foods, L.P.	Bankruptcy	E. Cal.
10-16154)	10-16155) 10-16156) 10-16157) 10-16160)		
10-16711	Adams v. USFS	Civil v. US	Arizona
10-16793	AAA Nevada Ins. Co. v. Chau	Diversity	S. Nev.
Tue. 15	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3 rd Floor		
	Before: HAWKINS, M. SMITH, CJJ; DUFFY (S. I	New York), DJ	
10-10310	United States v. Gonzalez	Criminal	N. Cal.
11-15025	United States v. Gonzalez	Habeas	N. Cal.
10-16043	Wright v. Incline Village	Rights	N. Nev.
10-16249	Wilson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.	Diversity	N. Cal.
10-16932	King v. Bergeron	Diversity	N. Cal.
Tue. 15	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor		
140. 15	Before: THOMAS, GOULD, BYBEE, CJJ		
10-15629	Peraza v. Campbell	Habeas	E. Cal.
10-16813)	Payne v. McGrath	Habeas	N. Cal.
10-16820)	Young v. McGrath	1140045	iv. car.
10-17231	Ghilotti Bros., Inc.v. Am. Safety Indem. Co.	Diversity	N. Cal.
10-72754	Wilson v. CIR	Tax	N. Cal.
10-72772	American Aviation, Inc. v. US Dep't of Transp	Other	Arizona

Picture ID required to enter Courthouse

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

November 14 - 18, 2011

Case No.	Title of Cause	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Wed. 16	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor < note nev Before: NOONAN, BEA, CJJ; WALTER (W. Louis		ne
08-17225 10-17033 10-17171 10-60039 09-15981 10-16254) 10-17212)	Mendoza v. McDonald Parker v. Zavala Husain v. Khan In re Sustaita Embry v. Busby Western Oilfields Supply Co. v. Goodwin 10-17573)	Habeas Federal Diversity Bankruptcy Habeas Diversity	E. Cal. Arizona N. Cal. Arizona E. Cal. S. Nev.
Wed. 16	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3 rd Floor Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, M. SMITH, CJJ		
08-70201 08-70262 09-15470 10-17046 10-16488 10-60036) 10-60037)	Gurung v. Holder Zhao v. Holder Puckett v. Felker Bianchi v. State of Nevada Propps v. Astrue In re Mega-C Power Corp.	Imm Asy Imm Asy Habeas Rights Civil v. US Bankruptcy	N. Cal. N. Cal. E. Cal. N. Nev. E. Cal. N. Cal.
Wed. 16	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: THOMAS, GOULD, BYBEE, CJJ		
08-70696 09-15737 10-16854 10-71457) 10-71458)	Gomez Zarate v. Holder Hill v. Woodford Sussex Fin. Enter. v. Bayerische Hypo-Und Sierra Club v. EPA	Imm Non- Habeas Diversity Other	N. Cal. E. Cal. N. Cal. N. Cal.

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

November 14 - 18, 2011

Case No.	<u>Title of Cause</u>	Nature	<u>Origin</u>
Thu. 17	10:00 a.m. Stanford Law School, Moot Courtroom Before: KOZINSKI, FARRIS, BEA, CJJ	➤Death Penalty	y
11-99002	Scott v. Ryan	Habeas	Arizona
Thu. 17	11:00 a.m. Stanford Law School, Moot Courtroom Before: KOZINSKI, FARRIS, CJJ; GETTLEMAN		
10-16993 08-70076 08-70204 07-70764 10-17059 10-17071	Carter v. Family and Child Treatment Sharma v. Holder Kumar v. Holder Aguilar-Navarrete v. Holder Turtle Island Restoration v. US Dep't of State Clark v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.	Rights Imm Non- Imm Non- Imm Non- Civil v. US Diversity	N. Nev. N. Cal. N. Cal. N. Cal. N. Cal. N. Nev.
Thu. 17	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3 rd Floor Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, M. SMITH, CJJ		
08-70143 09-16983 09-17812 10-17007) 11-15066) 10-17057 10-17086 Thu. 17	Singh v. Holder Furnace v. Evans Zuniga v. Felker Secalt S.A. v. Wuxi Shenxi Constr. Perfino v. State of California Rojas v. Astrue 9: 30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: THOMAS, GOULD, BYBEE, CJJ	Imm Asy Prisoner Habeas Federal Rights Civil v. US	N. Cal. N. Cal. N. Cal. S. Nev. E. Cal. E. Cal.
08-70759 08-70792 09-16531 10-17029 08-70375 10-10173) 10-10254)	Chrakyan v. Holder Yun v. Holder Steel v. Ryan Sidhu v. Garcia Anikin v. Holder United States v. Jackson United States v. Reed	Imm Asy Imm Asy Habeas Rights Imm Asy Criminal	N. Cal. N. Cal. Arizona E. Cal. N. Cal. S. Nev.

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse

November 14 - 18, 2011

Case No.	<u>Title of Cause</u>	Nature	<u>Origin</u>
Fri. 18	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor < note new Before: FARRIS, NOONAN, BEA, CJJ	w starting tir	me
09-17427	Castro v. United States	Tax	Arizona
10-16778	Watison v. Carter	Prisoner	N. Nev.
09-17386	Shaw v. Kirkland	Habeas	E. Cal.
10-16734	Pacheco v. Homecomings Financial	Federal	N. Cal.
10-16771	Bean v. Houghton Mifflin	Federal	Arizona
10-16946	Escobar v. Brewer	Rights	Arizona
Fri. 18	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3 rd Floor Before: McKEOWN, M. SMITH, CJJ; BREWSTER	(S. Cal), DJ	
07-73716	Castillo v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. Cal.
08-70219	Tyson v. Holder	Imm Non-	N. Cal.
08-70354	Ma v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. Cal.
11-10079)	United States v. Steiniger	Criminal	Arizona
11-10095)			
10-16928	Christopherson v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Arizona
10-15969)	Solomon v. Cutler	Diversity	S. Nev.
10-16049)			
Fri. 18	9: 30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 rd Floor Before: THOMAS, GOULD, BYBEE, CJJ	Death Penalty	7
08-99003	Wood v. Ryan	Habeas	Arizona

Locations of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California 94103 ➤ Thursday - only @

➤ Stanford University, School of Law Crown Quadrangle 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, California 94305

Picture ID required to enter Courthouse

November 14 - 18, 2011

Judges Sitting by Designation:

Hon. Rudi M. <u>Brewster</u>, Senior US District Judge for the Southern District of California

Hon. Kevin Thomas <u>Duffy</u>, Senior US District Judge for the Southern District of New York

Hon. Robert W. <u>Gettleman</u>, Senior US District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois

Hon. Donald E. <u>Walter</u>, Senior US District Judge for the Western District of Louisiana

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California 94103

Picture ID required to enter Courthouse

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

 Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.

Adopted June 23, 2010.