

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**



November 18, 2011

**November 28 - December 2, 2011**

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 28	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Before: THOMAS, CLIFTON, CJJ; PRO (Nevada), DJ		
10-10291	United States v. Rodriguez	Criminal	E. Cal.
10-72856	Poladyan v. Holder	Imm Non-	Arizona
09-17380	CLRB Hanson Indus., LLC v. Weiss	Diversity	N. Cal.
09-17490	Native Village v. ExxonMobil	Federal	N. Cal.
Tue. 29	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Before: THOMAS, CLIFTON, CJJ; EZRA (Hawaii), DJ		
10-17428	Wood v. Scottsdale Indem. Co.	Diversity	N. Cal.
10-17437	Tweedy v. Astrue	Civil v. US	E. Cal.
10-17237)	Gov't Employees Health Ass'n v. InterMune Inc.	Diversity	N. Cal.
10-17239)			
10-17321	Smith v. Ford Motor Co.	Diversity	N. Cal.
Wed. 30	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Before: THOMAS, CLIFTON, CJJ; CARR (N. Ohio), DJ		
10-10537	United States v. Klucka	Criminal	S. Cal.
10-10583	United States v. Taylor	Criminal	Arizona
10-17242	Hechavarria v. City and County of SF	Rights	N. Cal.
10-50580	United States v. Ramirez	Criminal	S. Cal.
11-15140	Hamilton v. Astrue	Civil v. US	E. Cal.
10-10201)	United States v. H. Awand	Criminal	S. Nev.
10-10202)	United States v. L. Awand		
10-10534	United States v. Holmes	Criminal	E. Cal.
10-17365	Phillips v. Nat'l City Bank of Indiana	Diversity	Arizona

**Location of Hearing:**

**James R. Browning US Courthouse  
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, California 94103**

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**November 28 - December 2, 2011**



<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Thu. 1	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Before: THOMAS, CLIFTON, CJJ; CARR (N. Ohio), DJ		
08-70035)	Chettiar v. Holder	Imm Non-	N. Cal.
08-73865)			
09-15592	Sisavath v. State of California	Habeas	E. Cal.
09-17813	Yang v. Woodford	Habeas	E. Cal.
10-17034	Lasheen v. Embassy of Egypt	Federal	E. Cal.
10-17076	Sudberry v. State of Arizona	Rights	Arizona
10-17112	Jackson v. Wilson, Sonsini	Federal	N. Cal.
10-17716	O'Guinn v. Nevada Dep't of Corrections	Prisoner	N. Nev.
Fri. 2	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Before: THOMAS, CLIFTON, CJJ; CARR (N. Ohio), DJ		
09-72301	Solis v. Holder	Imm Non-	Arizona
10-10066	United States v. Dean	Criminal	E. Cal.
10-17391	Bank of Sacramento v. Stewart Title Guar. Co.	Diversity	E. Cal.

**Judges Sitting by Designation:**

Hon. James G. Carr, Senior US District Judge for the Northern District of Ohio

Hon. David A. Ezra, US District Judge for the District of Hawaii

Hon. Philip M. Pro, US District Judge for the District of Nevada

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

**General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**