

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for February CALENDAR:

Revised Notice:

Richard H. Chambers US Court of Appeals Bldg.  
125 South Grand Avenue  
Pasadena, California 91105

January 3, 2012

Picture ID required to enter Courthouse  
COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM  
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, February 6, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 08-70766 Reynoso-Cisneros v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-50400 United States v. Karapetyan  
( ) \* 10-50533 United States v. Meraz-Olivera  
( ) \*\* 10-56058 Mendoza v. Federal Nat'l Mortgage Assn.  
10-56069)  
( ) \*\* 10-56386 Ahn v. Hanil Development, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 10-56763 Casey v. Martel

Monday, February 6, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 09-55905 Wright v. McDonald  
( ) \* 09-56374 Stancle v. Clay  
( ) \* 11-50285 United States v. Seal  
( ) \*\* 09-50635 United States v. Fuller  
( ) \*\* 10-56488 Davis v. HSBC Bank of Nevada NA

Monday, February 6, 2012 1:00 p.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 07-72885 Ahmed v. Holder

Monday, February 6, 2012 2:00 p.m. Courtroom 3

Death Penalty

( ) + 10-99001 Stankewitz v. Wong

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/ \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/  
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Tuesday, February 7, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 10-50585 United States v. Shareef  
( ) \* 11-50016 United States v. Zuniga-Garcia  
( ) \* 11-55244 United States v. Soto-Lopez  
( ) \*\* 09-56945 Esparza v. Two Jinn, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 10-56276 Larin v. Bank of America, N.A.  
( ) \*\* 11-55664 Hutchful v. Wells Fargo Bank

Tuesday, February 7, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

Death Penalty

( ) \* 11-50134 United States v. Martinez-Castro  
( ) \* 11-50204 United States v. Garcia-Godoy  
( ) \* 11-50154 United States v. Bravo-Perez  
( ) \*\* 10-56417 McCabe v. Dell, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 11-56125 McDermott v. Veritas Health Services, Inc.  
( ) 11-71844 Pacific Pictures Corp. v. U.S.D.C.  
( ) + 08-99028 Cudjo v. Ayers

Wednesday, February 8, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 10-50631 United States v. Dreyer  
( ) \* 10-50636 United States v. Sanchez  
( ) \* 11-50007 United States v. Jimenez-Sanchez  
( ) \*\* 11-56253 Lavan v. City of Los Angeles  
( ) \*\* 10-56468 National Cmm'n Crane Operators v. CA Crane School  
( ) \*\* 12-15005 State of Nevada v. Bank of America Corp. <note added case>

Wednesday, February 8, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 10-56250 Longerbeam v. Astrue  
( ) \* 10-56473 Harris v. U.S. Bankr. Ct.  
( ) \* 11-50037 United States v. Francisco-Pascual  
( ) \*\* 10-50328 United States v. Anekwu <note new date>  
( ) \*\* 10-56089 Richardson v. Potter  
( ) \*\* 10-55861 Pom Wonderful LLC v. Coca-Cola Co.  
( ) \*\* 10-56791 Pom Wonderful v. Welch Foods

Thursday, February 9, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1

Death Penalty

( ) + 09-99005 Ayala v. Wong

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/ \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/  
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE  
PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Thursday, February 9, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 08-72624 Perez Higueroa v. Holder  
( ) \* 09-70413 Liu v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-55171 American Gen. Life & Accident Ins. Co. v. Wu  
( ) \*\* 10-56266) Headley v. Church of Scientology  
10-56278)  
( ) \*\* 10-56305 Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Sheikhpour  
( ) \*\* 10-56314) Eastwood Ins. Serv., Inc. v. Titan Auto Ins. of  
10-56851) New Mexico, Inc.

Thursday, February 9, 2012 1:30 p.m. Courtroom 3

( ) 10-55161 Skinner v. Northrop Grumman Plan

Thursday, February 9, 2012 3:00 p.m. Courtroom 1

( ) 11-35399) Dex Media West, Inc v. City of Seattle <note added case  
11-35787)

Friday, February 10, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 08-71621 Bautista-Magallon v. Holder  
( ) \* 08-75140 Flores-Lopez v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-55536 Upton v. Kakhoury  
( ) \*\* 10-56134 R.M. v. Fagel  
( ) \*\* 10-56177 United States v. Union Auto Sales, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 11-50121 United States v. Waseleski

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the  
Richard H Chambers U.S. Court of Appeals Building

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for February CALENDAR:

Advance Notice:

Richard H. Chambers US Court of Appeals Bldg.  
125 South Grand Avenue  
Pasadena, California 91105

December 8, 2011

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM  
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, February 13, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 07-71387 Barragan v. Holder  
( ) \* 09-70924 Palafox-Reyes v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-56379 Gutierrez v. Schmid Insulation Contractors, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 10-56367 Plata v. Darbun Enterprises, Inc.  
10-56437)  
( ) \*\* 10-56430 Bagdasarian Prods. v. 20th Cent. Fox  
( ) \*\* 10-56449 Hanrahan v. Astrue

Monday, February 13, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 10-50492 United States v. Ijewere  
( ) \* 10-56126 McGinty v. Astrue  
( ) \* 10-56464 Sexton v. Astrue  
( ) \* 11-50144 United States v. Eunice  
( ) \*\* 10-56573 Hampton-Stein v. Aviation Finance Group, LLC  
( ) 10-55065 Canyon View Estates v. Homeside Lending, Inc.

Tuesday, February 14, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 08-70815 Randhawa v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-56667 Hardine v. OPEIU  
( ) \* 11-50300 United States v. Jimenez  
( ) \*\* 10-56185 In re McIntyre  
( ) \*\* 10-56197 Brotherhood of Locomotive Eng. v. Southern Ca. RRA

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Tuesday, February 14, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

- ( ) \* 10-56285) Armaswalker v. Equilon Enters.  
10-56286)
- ( ) \* 10-56313 USA v. Richards
- ( ) \* 11-50214 United States v. Delgado-Benitez
- ( ) \*\* 10-50540 United States v. Chunchai
- ( ) \*\* 10-56255 Williams v. Oberon Media, Inc.
- ( ) \*\* 10-56342 Catlin Underwriting Agencies Ltd v. San Diego Refr. Serv., Inc.

Wednesday, February 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

- ( ) \*\* 09-55893 Parrish v. Yates
- ( ) \*\* 10-56605 Williams v. Ryan
- ( ) \*\* 11-50107 United States v. Chovan
- ( ) 10-72709) Imperial County Air Pollution District v EPA  
10-72729)

Wednesday, February 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

- ( ) \* 08-71661 Castelan Salas v. Holder
- ( ) \* 09-55540 Moore v. Cate
- ( ) \* 09-56189 Grantham v. Fakhoury
- ( ) \*\* 10-50527 United States v. Sanchez
- ( ) \*\* 10-56496 Reynoso v. City of Los Angeles
- ( ) \*\* 10-56502 Fein v. Kesterson

Thursday, February 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

- ( ) \* 11-50198 United States v. Lopez-Lopez
- ( ) \*\* 10-56332 Radocchia v. City of Los Angeles
- ( ) \*\* 10-56455) Valenzuela v. ADT Security Serv., Inc.  
10-56517)
- ( ) \*\* 10-55878 Norton v. Astrue
- ( ) \*\* 10-56666 Santangelo v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Thursday, February 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 07-71863 Miranda-Maltez v. Holder  
( ) \* 08-71883 Herrera-Corral v. Holder  
( ) \* 09-56252 Caballero v. Harrington  
( ) \*\* 10-50589 United States v. Guess  
( ) \*\* 10-56257 Segundo Suenos, LLC v. Jones  
( ) \*\* 10-60040 In re Jacobson

Friday, February 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

( ) \* 10-56344 Huff v. City of Los Angeles  
( ) \* 10-56401 USA v. Venegas  
( ) 10-55734) USA v. Ferro  
10-56808) 11-55034)  
( ) \*\* 09-56312 San Nicolas v. Dexter  
( ) \*\* 10-56100 Hallak v. L3 Communications Corp.

Friday, February 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3

( ) \* 09-71070 Vilchez v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-50055 United States v. Tamayo  
( ) \* 11-50091 United States v. Romero-Corona  
( ) \*\* 10-55950 Carter v. RBC Capital Markets  
( ) \*\* 10-56014 Harris v. Amgen, Inc.  
( ) \*\* 10-56320) K.D. v. Solana Beach Sch. Dist.  
10-56373)

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the  
Richard H Chambers U.S. Court of Appeals Building

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

## **U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**

July 25, 2011

## **Notice for Drivers of Electric Vehicles**

Consistent with the government's policy of supporting [Zero-Emission Vehicles \(ZEV's\)](#), the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has an [Electric Vehicle Charging Station](#) available at the Richard H. Chambers courthouse in Pasadena, California.

The charging station may be used by attorneys attending oral arguments and other visitors to the Pasadena Courthouse. The charging station consists of a 20A power outlet, either 220V or 110V, and a [Level 2 charger](#).

Drivers may use the available charger or bring their own portable charger. Because availability is limited, please reserve a power outlet and charger at least 24 hours in advance by contacting Eve Fisher, Senior Deputy Clerk at [Eve\\_Fisher@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Eve_Fisher@ca9.uscourts.gov) or at 626-229-7251.



July 25, 2011

## **Notice for Drivers of Electric Vehicles**

Consistent with the government's policy of supporting [Zero-Emission Vehicles \(ZEV's\)](#), the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has an [Electric Vehicle Charging Station](#) available at the Richard H. Chambers courthouse in Pasadena, California.

The charging station may be used by attorneys attending oral arguments and other visitors to the Pasadena Courthouse. The charging station consists of a 20A power outlet, either 220V or 110V, and a [Level 2 charger](#).

Drivers may use the available charger or bring their own portable charger. Because availability is limited, please reserve a power outlet and charger at least 24 hours in advance by contacting Eve Fisher, Senior Deputy Clerk at [Eve.Fisher@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Eve.Fisher@ca9.uscourts.gov) or at 626-229-7251.

## **U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**