

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION of HEARING for April CALENDAR

Date of Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse  
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, California 94103

February 7, 2012

🚗 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 🚗  
COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM  
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, April 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

- ( ) \* 09-35841 Erikson v. Serpas
- ( ) \* 10-16356 Stafford v. Ryan
- ( ) \* 10-16432 Jennings v. Runnels
- ( ) \*\* 09-17323 Swanson v. Baker
- ( ) 11-15631) City of Glendale v. United States
- 11-15633) 11-15639) 11-15641) 11-15642)

Monday, April 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

- ( ) \* 11-15880 Fenenbock v. Director of Corrections
- ( ) \* 11-60006 In re Bender
- ( ) \* 11-60007 In re Bender
- ( ) \*\* 10-15005 Tommy Bahama Group v. Sexton
- ( ) \*\* 11-10290 United States v. Johnson
- ( ) \*\* 11-16443 Hernandez v. Hedgpeth

Monday, April 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

- ( ) \* 09-74017 Zarco-Romero v. Holder
- ( ) \* 11-10346 United States v. Richardson
- ( ) \* 11-10577 United States v. Guerrero
- ( ) \*\* 08-71965 Chum v. Holder
- ( ) \*\* 08-72894 Vo v. Holder
- ( ) \*\* 10-17092 Valencia v. Hedgpeth

Monday, April 16, 2012 2:00 p.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Death Penalty

- ( ) 10-99012 Lambright v. Ryan

Tuesday, April 17, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 10-17415 Lewis v. Runnels  
( ) \* 11-10230 United States v. Hernandez-Rodriguez  
( ) \* 11-60018 In re Tober  
( ) \* 11-60019 In re Hummel  
( ) \*\* 10-17497 Brown v. Premier Chemicals LLC  
( ) 11-15007 Public Lands for the People v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture

Tuesday, April 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 10-17929 King v. GMAC Mortgage LLC  
( ) \* 11-16335 Wilhelm v. Rotman  
( ) \* 08-73287 Pechenkov v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 11-70894 Aleman v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 09-17792 Rosa v. Taser International  
( ) \*\* 10-17156 Marquez v. City of Phoenix

Tuesday, April 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 10-16234 Chavarria v. Hamlet  
( ) \* 10-17375 Garza v. Yates  
( ) \* 11-15723 Coates v. Grounds  
( ) \*\* 11-35273 Mubita v. Weaver  
( ) 09-10189) United States v. Chao Fan Xu  
09-10193) United Staes v. Ying Yu  
09-10201) United States v. Gou Jun Xu  
09-10202) United States v. Wan Kuang

Wednesday, April 18, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 11-10297 United States v. Moore  
( ) \* 11-15276 Johnson v. Astrue  
( ) \* 11-10382 United States v. Lopez  
( ) \*\* 10-17868 Parrish v. Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP  
( ) \*\* 11-10308 United States v. Ibarra  
( ) \*\* 11-10337 United States v. IEV, Juvenile Male

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUS/SIDE \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wednesday, April 18, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Death Penalty

( )+ 08-99015 Rogovich v. Schriro

Wednesday, April 18, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( )\*\* 09-15548) Woods v. Carey  
09-16113)

( )\*\* 11-17200 County of Sonoma v. FHFA

( ) 10-16519 Hazel Green Ranch, LLC v. DOI

( ) 11-10231 United States v. Kozina

Thursday, April 19, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Death Penalty

( )+ 07-99025 Schurz v. Schriro

Thursday, April 19, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( )\* 09-10279 United States v. Colletti

( )\* 09-16053 Marrero v. Ives

( )\*\* 11-10205 United States v. Reyes-Perez

( )\* 10-10515 United States v. Jose Pita-Mota

( ) 10-10497) United States v. Felix Pita-Mota

10-10514) United States v. Jose Pita-Mota

10-10501) United States v. Hernandez

10-10509) United States v. Carreno-Gutierrez

10-10539) United States v. Rivera-Alvarado

Thursday, April 19, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( )\*\* 09-17239 Jackson v. LeGrand

( )\*\* 11-10210 United States v. Rodriguez

( ) 10-73870 Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA

( ) 11-15646) Hester v. Vision Airlines, Inc.

11-15761)

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE

+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE

OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Friday, April 20, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 09-73022 Ton v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-16407 Linder v. Donat  
( ) \* 10-16642 Matrunich v. Astrue  
( ) \*\* 11-15139 Alioto v. Associated Creditors Exchange  
( ) \*\* 11-70015 Meruelo v. CIR  
( ) \*\* 11-15008 Drew v. Chase Bank USA

Friday, April 20, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-10547 United States v. Bowen  
( ) \* 10-10562 United States v. Lomas  
( ) \* 10-10608 United States v. Weicks  
( ) \* 10-17595 Gomez v. Neubaumer  
( ) \*\* 10-17846 King v. Hedgpeth  
( ) 10-17784) Management and Engineering Tech. Int'l, Inc.  
10-17888) v. Info. Systems Support, Inc

Friday, April 20, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 11-15059 In re Molasky  
( ) \* 11-15060 In re Molasky  
( ) \* 11-15229 Love v. Modhaddam  
( ) \*\* 09-15593 Leinweber v. Tilton  
( ) \*\* 10-17181 Tuggles v. City of Antioch  
( ) \*\* 11-15653 Williams v. Jacquez

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the  
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

## **U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**