## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

## LOCATION OF HEARING for May CALENDAR

**Revised Notice**:

James R. Browning US Courthouse United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California 94103

March 29, 2012

Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, May 14, 2012			9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
(	)*	09-73756	Castrijon-Garcia v. Holder
(	) *	11-15369	Okwu v. McKim <note date<="" new="" td=""></note>
(	) **	11-15046	Seltzer v. Paul Revere Life Ins. Co.
(	) **	11-15181	Reynoso v. United States
(	)	11-16718	Earth Island Institute v. USFS < note new date
Mo	nday, l	May 14, 2012	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
(	) *	09-16222	Barrera v. Lopez
(	) *	09-17775	Saavedra v. Scribner
(	) *	11-15377	Z.F. v. Ripon Unified Sch. Dist.
(	) *	11-16180	Brunat v. OneWest Bank FSB
(	) **	09-16498	Gale v. First Franklin Financial Corp.
(	) **	11-15271	AZ Holding, LLC v. Frederick
(	) **	11-15300	Turney v. Hyundai Constr. Equipment
(	) **	11-15751	Munguia v. Grelyn of Maui, LLC
Tue	esday,	May 15, 2012	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
(	)*	09-17371	Baker v. CDC
(	) *	11-15348	King v. Astrue
(	) **	09-15892	United States v. Ailemen <note date<="" new="" td=""></note>
(	) **	11-15134	Saunders v. Silva
(	) **	11-15456	Ceresko v. LVNV Funding, LLC
(	) **	11-15475	Lemire v. CDCR

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE [see Filing Instructions on the Acknowledgment Form]

```
Tuesday, May 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
          10-15348
                         Holbrook v. State of Nevada
    ) *
    ) *
          10-15623
                         Johnson v. Evans
   ) ** 10-10459
                         United States v. Lopez
   ) ** 11-15189
                         Brandt v. nVidia Corp.
                         Trundle v. Astrue
   ) ** 11-15281
   )
          10-16959)
                         Gutierrez v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
          10-17468) 10-17689)
Wednesday, May 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
    ) *
          10-16327
                         Haynes v. City of San Francisco
                                                            <note new date
                         United States v. Flores-Arvizu
    ) *
          11-10061
                                                            <note new date
                         Nguyen v. Holder
   ) ** 08-72789
    ) ** 10-17890
                         Davis v. CDCR
                         Karlsson Group Inc. v. Langley Farm Inv., LLC
   )
          11-15509
Wednesday, May 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor
                         Plantan v. Cadida Trust
          09-16842
    ) *
                         Celaya v. Ryan
   ) ** 10-15935)
          10-15964)
    ) ** 10-16786)
                         Doe v. Willits U.S.D.
          10-17880)
    ) ** 11-10168
                         United States v. Brosnan
    ) **
          10-17320
                         Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. v. Newmont USA Ltd.
                         Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. v. Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Inc.
          11-15479
    )
                        9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
Thursday, May 17, 2012
    ) ** 07-73779)
                         Carrillo-Lozano v. Holder
          08-70147)
    ) ** 11-10344
                         United States v. Essner
          10-10224
                         United States v. Keyser
                         Plans Inc. v. Sacramento City Unified School
          10-17720
```

\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE \*\* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE
PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE
[see FILING INSTRUCTIONS on the Acknowledgment Form]

Thursday, May 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor 10-16644 Stewart v. ADOC ) \* ) \* 11-10333 United States v. O'Donnell ) \* 11-15860 In re VeriFone Securities Litigation ) \*\* 10-16216 EEOC v. Clark County, Nevada United States v. Cauley ) \*\* 10-10382) 10-10412) ) \*\* 11-10076 United States v. Bilyeu Transwestern Pipeline v. 46.78 Acres ) \*\* 11-15558 Friday, May 18, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor ) \* 11-10241 United States v. Catalan-Encarnacion United States v. Bautista ) \* 11-10310 ) \* 11-10321 United States v. Rodriguez-Chacon Plaza 75 Shopping Center, LLC v. Big Lots Stores ) \*\* 11-15298 ) \*\* 11-15329 Bankston v. AmeriCredit Fin. Serv., Inc.

Li v. A Perfect Day Franchise, Inc.

) \*\* 11-15376

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE \*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE

[see FILING INSTRUCTIONS on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

## U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

## **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.

Adopted June 23, 2010.