# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

#### LOCATION OF HEARING for JULY CALENDAR:

Revised Notice:

Richard H. Chambers US Court of Appeals Bldg. 125 South Grand Avenue Pasadena, California 91105

June 5, 2012

# জন্দ Picture ID <u>required</u> to enter Courthouse আজ COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, July 9, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

(	)* 07-74004	Jameel v. Holder
(	)* 09-56985	Molski v. Conrad's La Canada
(	)* 10-50598	United States v. Juan-Gonzalez
(	) ** 10-50326	United States v. Hard
(	) ** 10-56854	Acosta v. City of Costa Mesa
(	) ** 12-55158	Howard v. Biter

Tuesday, July 10, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

(	) *	07-74282	Llordi v. Holder	
(	) *	07-74633	Chen v. Holder	
(	) *	08-70057	Kumar v. Holder	
(	) **	10-50403	United States v. Grummer	
(	) **	10-56785	Chase v. Betts and Gambles	
(	) **	11-55501	Payne v. County of Orange	<note added="" case<="" th=""></note>

Wednesday, July 11, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

(	) *	08-70184	Budiarja v. Holder
(	) *	11-50329	United States v. Garcia-Ocampo
(	) *	11-55159	Kelleher v. Hertz Corporation
(	) **	10-56846	Peabody v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.
(	) **	11-56421	Carney v. Bank of America
(	) **	12-55146	Cliett v. Scribner

# \* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE

\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

# PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE

# [see FILING INSTRUCTIONS on the Acknowledgment Form]

Thursday, July 12, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

(	) *	07-74440	Aghajani v. Holder
(	) *	09-55349	Rosenblum v. Yates
(	) *	10-56959	Peterson v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
(	) **	10-55702	Albino v. Baca
(	) **	11-55299	In re: American Funds Securities Litigation
(	) **	11-55374	Bordbar v. Astrue

Friday, July 13, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1

(	) *	08-70322	Orantes-Barrera v. Holder	
(	) *	11-50240	United States v. Brines	<note added="" case<="" td=""></note>
(	) *	11-50442	United States v. Verdin	
(	) **	10-50500	United States v. McTiernan	
(	) **	10-56458	Gilbert v. New Line Production	ns, Inc.
(	) **	11-50311	United States v. Maloney	<note added="" case<="" td=""></note>
Frie	day, J	uly 13, 2012	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3	<note new="" sitting<="" td=""></note>
(	) *	09-56814	Hamilton v. McEwen	

- ( )\* 11-55492 Oliver v. City of Anaheim
- ( )\* 11-55843 Garcia v. Horel

# \* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE \*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

# PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO CLERK'S OFFICE

[see FILING INSTRUCTIONS on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the Richard H Chambers U.S. Court of Appeals Building

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

July 25, 2011

# **Notice for Drivers of Electric Vehicles**

Consistent with the government's policy of supporting <u>Zero-Emission</u> <u>Vehicles (ZEV's)</u>, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has an <u>Electric Vehicle Charging Station</u> available at the Richard H. Chambers courthouse in Pasadena, California.

The charging station may be used by attorneys attending oral arguments and other visitors to the Pasadena Courthouse. The charging station consists of a 20A power outlet, either 220V or 110V, and a <u>Level 2 charger</u>.

Drivers may use the available charger or bring their own portable charger. Because availability is limited, please reserve a power outlet and charger at least 24 hours in advance by contacting Eve Fisher, Senior Deputy Clerk at <u>Eve Fisher@ca9.uscourts.gov</u> or at 626-229-7251.

# U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

#### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.

Adopted June 23, 2010.