

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for OCTOBER CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

August 26, 2012

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM

All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, October 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 07-75083 Harutyunyan v. Holder
- () * 11-10280 United States v. Thomas
- () * 11-10386 United States v. Majak
- () ** 11-15277 Barela v. Astrue
- () ** 11-15774 Lopez v. Astrue
- () ** 12-70141 Del Campo v. U.S. District Court - Northern District of CA, San Jose

Monday, October 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () ** 10-10398 United States v. Flores <note added case (first up)>
- () * 08-73469 Carlton-Carew v. Holder
- () * 10-71374 Cuevas-Moreno v. Holder
- () * 10-17257 Ice Cream Distributors of Evansville v. Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.
- () ** 11-15841 Wynn Resorts, Ltd. v. Atlantic-Pacific Capital, Inc.
- () ** 11-16052 Michael Taylor Designs, Inc. v. Travelers Property Casualty Co

Monday, October 15, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-71229 Singh v. Holder
- () * 11-10470 United States v. Aguilar-Acevedo
- () * 11-10514 United States v. Booker
- () * 11-10558 United States v. Ajiatas-Mazariegos
- () ** 10-17344 Perez v. Winett
- () ** 12-15935 Levinson v. McCullough <note added case>

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Tuesday, October 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- ()** 11-15948 Millsaps v. Pinal County Superior Court
- ()** 11-16069 Parra v. PacifiCare of Arizona, Inc.
- () 11-15904 SEC v. Pattison
- () 11-16042 Ecological Rights Foundation v. PG&E

Tuesday, October 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- ()* 08-72960 Cao v. Holder
- ()* 11-10372 United States v. Klassy
- ()* 12-10038 United States v. Steel
- () 12-15131) Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Goldstene
12-15135)

Tuesday, October 16, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- ()* 08-72821 Romero Velazquez v. Holder
- ()* 08-74331 Rojas v. Holder
- ()* 10-15737 Kitchens v. Pierce
- ()** 11-60024 In re Spiegel
- ()** 11-16275 SEC v. Radical Bunny LLC
- ()** 11-17134 USA v. El Dorado County

Wednesday, October 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- ()* 08-70979 Malagon v. Holder
- ()* 11-10131 United States v. Thurman
- ()* 11-10338 United States v. Sundberg
- ()* 11-10431 United States v. Blatchford
- ()** 08-17319 Lopez v. Runnels
- () 12-15688 Friendly House v. State of Arizona

Wednesday, October 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- ()* 08-70392) Cueva v. Holder
08-70398) 08-73165)
- ()* 11-10390 United States v. Minjarez
- ()* 11-10650 United States v. De Morais
- ()** 10-10435 United States v. Flocker
- ()** 10-16522 Cooper v. Woodford
- ()** 10-56023 Martinez v. Napolitano

Wednesday, October 17, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 11-10659 United States v. Scanio
- () * 11-17295 Grizzle v. Horel
- () * 09-71450 Vargas v. Holder
- () ** 10-73901 Phan v. Holder
- () ** 11-72081 Blandino-Medina v. Holder
- () ** 10-72964 Saint-Fort v. Holder

Thursday, October 18, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () + 09-99007 Henry v. Ryan

Thursday, October 18, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-71466 Sherpa v. Holder
- () * 08-17706 Sanchez v. Yates
- () * 11-10585 United States v. Jenkins
- () ** 10-16012 Jennings v. Baker
- () ** 10-16907 Blackwell v. City & County of San Francisco
- () ** 11-10593 United States v. Jinian

Thursday, October 18, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () ** 11-10397 United States v. Jesus-Casteneda
- () ** 11-15726 Hutton v. Astrue
- () 11-17843 Center for Biological Diversity v. Salazar
- () 12-15113 Wroclawski v. Clinton

Friday, October 19, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 07-73449 Vaid v. Holder
- () * 08-71289 Singh v. Holder
- () * 11-10608 United States v. Navia-Perez
- () ** 11-15504 Ray v. The Prudential Insurance Company
- () ** 11-16487 Lopez-Valenzuela v. County of Maricopa
- () ** 12-15634 Grand Canyon Skywalk v. Sa Nyu Wa

* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE ** MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE
+ MAX ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINS/SIDE OTHER CASES 20 MINS/SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE TO PASADENA CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Friday, October 19, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-73048 Li v. Holder
- () * 11-10499 United States v. Sortini
- () * 11-16729 Johnson v. Clay
- () ** 11-10304 United States v. Walizer
- () ** 11-15666 Teran v. Astrue
- () ** 11-70949 Flextronics America v. CIR
- () ** 11-15645 Chinivasagam v. Equilon Enterprises, LLC <note new date

Friday, October 19, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 07-72292 Pineda-Orellana v. Holder
- () * 08-15628 Walker v. McDaniel
- () * 10-10606 United States v. Redden
- () ** 11-15848 Diaz v. Grupo Mexico Incorporated
- () 11-16786 Learjet, Inc. v. Oneok, Inc.
- 11-16798) 11-16799) 11-16802) 11-16818) 11-16821)
- 11-16869) 11-16876) 11-16880) 11-16897)

Wednesday, October 24, 2012 3:00 p.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () ** 11-16468) Center for Food Safety v. Vilsack
- 11-16564)

Wednesday, October 24, 2012 4:00 p.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () ** 12-15052 Center for Food Safety v. Vilsack <note added case

* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE ** MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available
in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.