

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for NOVEMBER CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

September 21, 2012

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM

All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, November 5, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 09-15329 Saffold v. Newland
() * 11-16078 Jacobs v. State of Arizona
() * 11-16130 Fagle v. Brooks
() ** 11-16267) McBurnie v. Prescott
11-16316)
() 12-16670 Isaacson v. Horne

Monday, November 5, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 09-72526 Vivar-Flores v. Holder
() * 10-16433 United States v. Ontiveras
() * 10-16716 Baker v. Ryan
() * 11-10625 United States v. Beardall
() ** 11-16190 Dicey v. Pickens
() 11-15979 Boar v. County of Nye

Monday, November 5, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 11-10165 United States v. Casarez-Sanchez <note added case
() * 10-10380 United States v. Perez-Xia
() * 12-10000 United States v. Gallegos-Galindo
() ** 11-16097 Ciolino v. Frank
() ** 11-16233 Faulkner v. ADT Security Services., Inc.
() ** 11-16341 Recinto v. The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Tuesday, November 6, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 10-73176 Tokpan v. Holder
() * 11-71992 Raya-Moreno v. Holder
() * 11-16362 Chang v. Astrue
() ** 10-17163 Winn v. LaMarque
() ** 11-16286 Fox Insurance Co., Inc. v. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
() ** 11-17890 Fox Insurance Co., Inc. v. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Tuesday, November 6, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 10-16514 United States v. Romm
() * 11-16858 Smith v. Hedgpeth
() * 10-17706 Universal Trading v. Kiritchenko
() ** 11-16132 Universal Trading v. Dugsbery, Inc.
() ** 10-15835 Hanneman v. Attorney General
() ** 11-16269 Pert v. USA
() 11-15518) Paramount Farms, Inc. v. Ventilex B.V. <note new date
11-15670)

Tuesday, November 6, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 08-72384 Thao v. Holder <note added case
() * 10-15044 Dyer v. Hornbeck
() * 10-15961 Furnace v. Sullivan
() * 11-10539 United States v. Juan
() ** 11-17714 Mamea v. USA
() 11-16183 Great Old Broads for Wilderness v. Kimbell

Wednesday, November 7, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 08-71600 Santos-Canas v. Holder
() * 08-70611 Stetco v. Holder
() * 11-16120 Ansel Adams Trust v. PRS Media
() ** 11-16051 Lukas v. United Behavioral Health
() ** 11-16369 Oldoerp v. Wells Fargo Plan
() ** 11-10067 United States v. Doe

Wednesday, November 7, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 10-10425 United States v. Guan
() * 11-10630 United States v. Robledo-Rivera
() * 09-70844 Yeglaryan v. Holder
() ** 08-72040 Sargsyan v. Holder
() ** 11-15710 Totah v. Lucasfilm Entertainment Co
() ** 11-16162 Ruiz v. Astrue

Wednesday, November 7, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 11-10663 United States v. Arias-Espinoza
() * 11-15831 Tremayne v. Astrue
() * 11-16343 Andrews v. HCR Manor Care
() ** 11-16072 Garcia v. Astrue
() ** 11-16121 Brodie v. Astrue
() ** 11-16206 Lawler v. MontBlanc North America

Thursday, November 8, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() ** 11-10156 United States v. Garcia
() ** 11-16305 Hickcox-Huffman v. US Airways, Inc.
() 11-16240 National Federation of the Blind v. United Airlines, Inc.
() 11-15129 Intel Corp v. American Guarantee and Liability Insurance Co

Thursday, November 8, 2012 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 08-72037 Ramos v. Holder
() * 08-73869 Garcia De Buenrostro v. Holder
() * 09-17669 Gilmore v. Cate
() * 11-60021 In re Carey
() ** 11-15961 Banister v. U.S. Department of the Treasury

Thursday, November 8, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() ** 11-15398 Mamigonian v. Biggs
() ** 11-72570 Padilla-Martinez v. Holder
() 10-16855 Protect Lake Pleasant LLC v. US Bureau of Reclamation
() 11-16272 Chubb Custom Insurance v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc.

Friday, November 9, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 08-71756 Chogsom v. Holder
() * 09-72405 Sapkota v. Holder
() * 09-72964 Regaldo-Escobar v. Holder
() ** 09-17678 Merritt v. Countrywide Financial Corporation
() ** 09-16744 Griffin v. Gomez
11-15373)
() ** 11-16214 Monaghan v. FBI

* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE ** MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Friday, November 9, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 08-71730 Mkrtchyan v. Holder
() * 11-15411 Dove v. Ryan
() * 11-17471 United States v. Zimmerman
() ** 11-10511 United States v. Preston
() ** 11-15950 Gonsalves v. Astrue
() ** 11-15955 Pinegar v. Astrue

Friday, November 9, 2012 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 09-17881 Hamilton v. Hernandez
() ** 11-16008 Hartmann v. California Department of Corrections
() * 12-30206 United States v. JJ, Juvenile Male <note added case
() ** 11-16188 AT&T Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corporation

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

**U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Electronic Devices Policy**

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.
2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.

Adopted June 23, 2010.