

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION of HEARING for FEBRUARY CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

January 11, 2013

🚫 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 🚫
COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, February 11, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-72970 Aleksandryan v. Holder
- () * 09-16189 Cofsky v. Schriro
- () * 11-16699 Keach v. CityCenter Boutique
- () ** 11-16489 Burris v. American Safety Indem. Co.
- () 11-16228 Associated General Contractors v. California
- () 11-16836 Alliance of Nonprofits v. Barratt
- 11-17871)

Monday, February 11, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () * 09-71028 Singh v. Holder
- () * 11-16938 Sams v. Yahoo! Inc.
- () * 11-17722 Vasquez v. Felker
- () ** 08-15218 Pinon v. Bank of America
- () ** 11-16896 Somers v. Apple, Inc.
- () ** 12-15250 Chan v. County of Sacramento

Monday, February 11, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-75160 Chalup v. Holder
- () * 11-10298 United States v. Juda
- () * 11-16748 Turner v. Craig
- () ** 10-15867 Barnett v. Singh
- () ** 11-16527 Johnson v. City of Oakland
- () ** 11-16552 Hill v. Hill-Love

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Tuesday, February 12, 2013 12:45 p.m. Stanford Law School

- () * 11-10266 United States v. Schipke
- () ** 11-16175 Scott v. Kramer
- () ** 11-16892 Busk v. Integrity Staffing Solutions

Tuesday, February 12, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () ** 11-10317 United States v. IMM
- () ** 11-15354 Hazle v. Crofoot
- () 11-16610 Ramparts, Inc. v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co.
- () 11-16811) Tohono O'odham Nation v. Glendale
11-16823) 11-16833)

Tuesday, February 12, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 12-10128 United States v. Miranda
- () 11-16956 SEC v. Gowrish
- () 11-16813) Charyulu v. California Casualty Indemnity Exchange
11-16814)

- () 11-16234) Lucia v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
11-16242)

Wednesday, February 13, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-74989 Kaur v. Holder
- () * 12-10159 United States v. Aguilar-Martinez
- () * 11-10130) United States v. Velasquez-Lopez
11-10139) United States v. Lopez-Mejia
- () ** 11-16795 NEMS, Inc. v. California Dep't of Health Care Services
- () ** 11-16796 La Clinica de La Raza v. California Dep't of Health Care Services
- () 07-15982) MHC Financing v. City of San Rafael
09-16451) 09-16612) 09-16613) 09-16447)

Wednesday, February 13, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor Death Penalty

- () + 10-99020 Murdaugh v. Ryan

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Wednesday, February 13, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 08-74756 Colunga-Guzman v. Holder
() * 08-75001 Tadeo v. Holder
() * 10-15791 Rubalcava v. Felker
() ** 10-17551 Mazzeo v. Gibbons
() ** 11-10669 United States v. Bonds
() ** 11-16161) Stonebrae LP v. Toll Bros., Inc.
11-16274)

Thursday, February 14, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 08-72846 Uliviti v. Holder
() * 11-16612 Anwar v. Johnson
() * 11-17713 Muhammad v. Ryan
() ** 09-17048 De-Luis-Conti v. Evans
() ** 11-10471 United States v. Harmon
() ** 11-16837 Castro v. Terhune

Thursday, February 14, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

() * 08-73408 Bansel v. Holder
() * 11-17678 Dow v. Virga
() * 12-10169 United States v. Earl
() ** 10-16056 Martino v. Baker
() ** 11-16503 Cameron v. Arizona Board of Regents
() ** 12-10015 United States v. Colman

Thursday, February 14, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 10-17654 Arredondo-Virula v. Adler
() * 10-73411 Tupaz v. Holder
() * 11-18026 Sands v. Lewis
() ** 11-15953 Hameed v. IHOP Franchising LLC
() ** 11-16586 Greensprings Baptist Christian Fellowship Trust v. Cilley
() ** 12-10040 United States v. Webster

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE

** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Friday, February 15, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 11-15938 Mohammadkhani v. Anthony
- () * 11-16797 Barnett v. Martinez
- () * 11-16920 McCullough v. Graber
- () ** 08-70885) Hakobyan v. Holder
08-73010) 09-71268)
- () ** 10-70684 Hassman v. DEA
- () ** 12-10483 United States v. LKAV, Juvenile Male

Friday, February 15, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

- () * 10-10532) United States v. Nero
12-10008)
- () * 10-15122 Gordon v. Ryan
- () ** 11-16625) Barnard v. Theobald
11-16655)
- () ** 11-16713 LaVoie v. Haynes
- () ** 11-71957 Schwab v. CIR

Friday, February 15, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 05-73471) Khamba v. Holder
05-76696) 06-71477)
- () * 10-73120 Ballesteros Rodriguez v. Holder
- () * 10-15939 Quevedo v. Kramer
- () ** 11-16575 Cason v. Optimum Health Institute
- () ** 11-16680 Clarendon Nat'l Ins. Co. v. Nat'l Fire & Marine Insurance
- () ** 12-10103) United States v. Rodriguez-Becerra
12-10146) United States v. Moreno-Sanabria

Wednesday, February 20, 2013 9:30 a.m. Ctrm 1, 3rd Floor DeathPenalty

- () + 08-99011 Sully v. Ayers <note added case

* MAX ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINS/SIDE ** MAX ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINS/SIDE
+ MAX ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINS/SIDE OTHER CASES MAX ARG TIME 20 MINS/SIDE
PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse
www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.