

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR PORTLAND, OREGON**


February 22, 2013

**March 4 - 8, 2013**

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Mon. 4	9:00 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: TASHIMA, CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ		
11-30261	United States v. Mehdi	Criminal	Oregon
11-36023	Patton v. Mills	Habeas	Oregon
11-35591	Beasley v. Keizer	Rights	Oregon
10-36094	Montana Shooting Sports v. Holder	Rights	Montana
Tue. 5	9:00 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: TASHIMA, CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ		
11-35998	Kassebaum v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Oregon
11-36064	Roxbury v. Astrue	Civil v. US	Oregon
12-30057	United States v. Montano	Criminal	Montana
12-30116	United States v. Say	Criminal	Montana
12-35169	Calvert v. Thomas	Habeas	Oregon
11-35956	American Medical Response v. Ace American Ins.	Diversity	Oregon
12-35759	Oregon Natural Desert Ass'n v. Freeborn	Civil v. US	Oregon
Wed. 6	9:00 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ; MAHAN (Nevada), DJ		
10-35099	Godfrey v. Kirkegard	Habeas	Montana
11-71774	Escalante-Jimenez v. Holder	Imm Non-	Oregon
11-35692	Worthan v. Kirkegard	Habeas	Montana
12-30009)	United States v. Stanko	Criminal	Montana
12-30019)	12-30076) 12-30128)		
12-30174)	United States v. Mancuso	Criminal	Montana
12-30201)			

**Judge Sitting by Designation:**

Hon. James C. Mahan, US District Judge for the District of Nevada

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
CALENDAR FOR PORTLAND, OREGON**

**March 4 - 8, 2013**

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Thu. 7	9:00 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ; MAHAN (Nevada), DJ		
10-30335	United States v. Ramirez-Arroyo  Before: TASHIMA, CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ	Criminal	Oregon
11-35760	Jones v. Premo	Habeas	Oregon
12-30108	United States v. Monroe	Criminal	Montana
12-30145	United States v. Ridgley	Criminal	Montana
12-30146	United States v. Broken Rope	Criminal	Montana
12-35113	United States v. Andrade	Habeas	Oregon
12-35163	Karnes v. Premo	Habeas	Oregon
11-35949	The Erection Co. v. W&W Steel	Diversity	Oregon
12-70221	Fort Belknap Housing Dept v. Office of Public & Indian Housing	Other Admin	Montana
Fri. 8	9:00 a.m. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Courtroom Before: TASHIMA, CLIFTON, BEA, CJJ		
12-30155	United States v. Stanfill	Criminal	Oregon
11-35935	Doyle v. City of Medford	Federal	Oregon
11-35922)	Rother v. Lupenko	Federal	Oregon
11-35953)			

**Location of Hearing:**

**U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Pioneer Courthouse  
700 SW Sixth Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Portland, Oregon 97204**

📷 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 📷  
Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the Pioneer Courthouse  
[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

## **U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY**

**This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.**

**Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.**

### **General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

**Adopted June 23, 2010.**