


UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for MAY CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

March 13, 2013

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM

All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, May 13, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () ** 11-10425) United States v. Bonilla-Guizar
- 11-10476) United States v. Calixtro-Bustamante
- () ** 11-17277 Garand v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank
- () 11-17391) Nuveen Fund v. City of Alameda
- 11-17496)
- () 12-17299 DataTech Enterprises v. FF Magnat

Monday, May 13, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 08-72367 Terteryan v. Holder
- () * 11-17578 McDonough v. Yates
- () * 12-15177 McKibbins v. Williams
- () ** 11-10587 United States v. Shahin
- () ** 11-10623 United States v. Pedrin
- () ** 12-15178 Farhang v. Indian Institute of Technology

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () 13-15227 Drakes Bay Oyster Co. v. Salazar
- () * 08-74687 Movsisyan v. Holder
- () * 11-10667 United States v. Pollock
- () * 12-10092 United States v. Felix
- () ** 12-16459 Real v. Walker
- () ** 12-16706 Brady v. Consecro Life Ins. Co.

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE

** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () ** 12-10077 United States v. Prince
- () ** 12-10119 United States v. Ortega
- () 11-17250 Klein v. TAP Pharmaceutical Products
- () 11-17369 Lagstein v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London
- 11-17460

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 2:00 p.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Death Penalty

- () + 12-16952 Styers v. Ryan

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 ?:00 p.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor [starting time to be determined]

- () ** 08-72564 Mlinarevic v. Holder <note new date

Wednesday, May 15, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 09-71001 Raut v. Holder
- () * 09-72911 Avalos Dimas v. Holder
- () * 11-16307 Morris v. Burke
- () ** 11-17026 Gosnell v. United States
- () ** 11-17038 Farber v. City of Mesa
- () ** 11-17039 Larson v. United Natural Foods West

Wednesday, May 15, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

- () * 09-70021) Duran-Galaviz v. Holder
- 09-72338)
- () * 09-70631 Scholz v. Holder
- () * 10-70644 Diaz-Perez v. Holder
- () ** 11-17018 Sprando v. Hart
- () ** 11-17270 FTC v. Publishers Business Services
- () ** 12-10229 United States v. Tsosie

Thursday, May 16, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 11-17291 Schiff v. City & County of SF
- () * 11-17293 Schiff v. City & County of SF
- () * 12-10134 United States v. Lopez
- () ** 11-17127 Wynar v. Douglas County
- () ** 11-17454 C.B. v. City of Sonora
- () ** 11-17149 Coelho v. Astrue

Thursday, May 16, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 08-74719 Dhaliwal v. Holder
() * 09-73100 De Leon-Lopez v. Holder
() * 10-17598 Cash v. Barnes
() * 11-17377 Preiss v. Horn
() ** 11-17272 Matthews v. NPMG
() 11-17482 Wilderness Society v. BLM

Friday, May 17, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 08-74272 Singh v. Holder
() * 08-74866 Morales Lopez v. Holder
() * 12-10178 United States v. Pedregon
() ** 11-17242 Nalbandian v. Lockheed Martin
() ** 11-17401 Pettit v. Capaldi
11-17541)
() ** 11-17447 In re Washington Group International

Friday, May 17, 2013 9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

() * 11-17070 Hansen v. Malloy
() * 11-17349 Fronapfel v. Hormazdi
() * 11-17245 Kaldor v. Skolnik
() ** 11-17110 Esparza Enterprises v. Burlington Insurance
() ** 11-17126 Fagin v. Doby George
() ** 11-17187 Northstar Financial v. Schwab

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
+ MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 30 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for MAY CALENDAR

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning US Courthouse
United States Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, California 94103

March 12, 2013

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM

All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, May 6, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 11-10403 United States v. Garcia
- () * 11-17398 Smith v. Clark County Sch. Dist.
- () * 11-17521 Amerson v. Clark County
- () ** 11-16988 Holmes v. Tenderloin Housing Clinic
- () ** 11-17180 Fred v. Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California
- () ** 11-17186 Oracle America v. Myriad Group

Tuesday, May 7, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () * 10-72071 Mejia v. Holder
- () * 11-17065 United States v. Fisher
- () * 11-17279 Pataky v. City of Phoenix
- () ** 11-17062 Johnson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.
- () ** 11-60059 In re Blue Pine Group
- () ** 11-72498 NLRB v. A&C Healthcare Services

Wednesday, May 8, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

- () ** 12-15022 Herrera-Castanola v. Holder
- () ** 12-17767 Tiznado-Reyna v. Kane
- () 12-10240 United States v. Cohen

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE

** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE

OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Thursday, May 9, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 09-70588 Lopez-Garcia v. Holder
() * 10-72671 Guevara-Robles v. Holder
() * 12-10065 United States v. Salgado-Urias
() * 12-10127 United States v. Ibarra-Figueroa
() ** 11-16900 Cooper v. Clark County
() 12-16145) Donahoe v. Arpaio
12-16146)

Friday, May 10, 2013 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

() * 08-73342 Harutyunyan v. Holder
() * 08-74022 Singh v. Holder
() * 12-10264 United States v. Parker
() ** 11-17240 Stairs v. Astrue
() ** 11-17322 Belcher v. Astrue
() ** 12-15096) Roberts v. Astrue
12-15121) 12-15122)
() 11-15199 Desire v. Holder <note new date

* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE
** MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE
[see **FILING INSTRUCTIONS** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Electronic Devices Policy

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.