

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**



October 18, 2013

October 15 - 18, 24, 2013

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Tue. 15	09:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Before: THOMAS and McKEOWN, CJJ; and BENNETT (N. Iowa), DJ		
09-72381	Liu v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. CA
09-72530	Ty v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. CA
12-10595	United States v. Bole	Criminal	E. CA
11-17743	Burnham v. USA	Civil v. US	AZ
11-17754	Long v. Ingenio	Diversity	N. CA
12-15244	Gringo Pass, Inc v. USA	Civil v. US	AZ
Wed. 16	09:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Before: THOMAS and McKEOWN, CJJ; and BENNETT (N. Iowa), DJ		
11-17879	Martin 1999 Irrevocable Trust v. United States	Tax	N. CA
09-70750	Khan v. Holder	Imm Non	N. CA
11-16714	Allen v. Meyer	Prisoner	E. CA
12-10623	United States v. Bursch	Criminal	N. CA
12-10629	United States v. Jackson	Criminal	NV
11-17776	June v. USA	Civil v. US	AZ
11-17786	Flores v. Astrue	Civil v. US	N. CA
11-17930	Han v. City of Folsom	Rights	E. CA
Thu. 17	09:00 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Before: THOMAS and McKEOWN, CJJ; and KENDALL (N. Illinois), DJ		
09-70873	Eversley-MacClaren v. Holder	Imm Non	N. CA
09-70877	Simonyan v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. CA
09-71980	Gill v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. CA
11-17726	Rhodes v. Raytheon Co.	Federal	AZ
11-17694	Rooney v. Sierra Pacific Windows	Diversity	N. CA
11-17836	Mitri v. Walgreen Co.	Diversity	E. CA
11-17858	Dariano v. Morgan Hill Unified School District	Rights	N. CA
12-15654	Sandoval v. LVMPD	Rights	NV

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
95 Seventh Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
CALENDAR FOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

October 15 - 18, 24, 2013

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Title of Cause</u>	<u>Nature</u>	<u>Origin</u>
Fri. 18	8:30 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor Before: THOMAS and McKEOWN, CJJ; and KENDALL (N. Illinois), DJ		
09-71668	Ortiz v. Holder	Imm Non	N. CA
09-72181	Kakabaeyeva v. Holder	Imm Asy	N. CA
11-17787	Zizlsperger v. Maxwell & Morgan PC	Federal	AZ
11-17920	Bayer v. Neiman Marcus Holdings	Federal	N. CA
11-17846	Ramirez v. County of Marin	Rights	N. CA
12-10492)	United States v. Hsiung	Criminal	N. CA
12-10493)	United States v. Chen		
12-10500)	United States v. AU Optronics Corporation		
12-10514)	United States v. AU Optronics Corporation America		
Thu. 24	8:45 a.m. Courtroom 1, 3 rd Floor <note starting time Before: KOZINSKI, FARRIS, BEA, CJJ		Death Penalty
12-16952	Styers v. Ryan	Habeas AZ	
13-15755	Styers v. Ryan	Habeas AZ	

Judges Sitting By Designation:

Honorable Mark W. Bennett, District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa

Honorable Virginia M. Kendall, District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois

Location of Hearing:

James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

 Picture ID required to enter Courthouse 

Wireless Internet Connectivity available in the
James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse
www.ca9.uscourts.gov

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT ELECTRONIC DEVICES POLICY

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

General Rules:

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**

- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**

Adopted June 23, 2010.