

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for FEBRUARY CALENDAR:

Revised Notice:

James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

► Stanford University, School of Law [ only on Mon. Feb 10]  
Crown Quadrangle  
559 Nathan Abbott Way  
Stanford, California 94305

December 30, 2013

>>> Picture ID required to enter Courthouse <<<  
COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM  
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, February 10, 2014 12:40 pm Stanford Law School, Moot Courtroom ►

( ) \* 09-71920 Steenhoff Snethlage v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-70517 Wong v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-71903 Hernandez Portillo v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-17790 Nunn v. Evans  
( ) \*\* 11-17556 Gillis v. City and County of San Francisco  
( ) 12-10336 United States v. Taskov

Monday, February 10, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor @ James R Browning US Cthse

( ) \* 12-10272 United States v. Begay  
( ) \* 12-10627 United States v. Rodriguez  
( ) \* 12-10304 United States v. Ezeta  
( ) \*\* 10-17926 Hunter v. Brazelton  
( ) 12-15144) San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority v. Pacific Coast Federation of  
12-15289) Fishermen's Assn.s/Institute for Fisheries Research  
12-15290) 12-15291) 12-15293) 12-15296)

Monday, February 10, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor @ James R Browning US Cthse

( ) \* 09-73671 Pirir-Boc v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-71219 Ramirez v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 09-72970 Dorj v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-18072 Noguera v. LeGrand  
( ) \*\* 11-17320 Nagahi v. California Employment Development Dept.  
( ) \*\* 12-10267 United States v. Ablett

Monday, February 10, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor @ James R Browning US Cthse

( ) \*\* 10-71298 Urias Torres v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 12-71049 Marroquin v. Holder  
( ) 12-15072) Cataphora v. Parker  
12-15149) 12-15567) 12-15602)  
( ) 12-15691 State of Arizona v. Raytheon Co

Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-70985 Zhang v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-17869 Nguyen v. Bank of America, NA  
( ) \* 12-15362 Rudin v. Myles  
( ) \*\* 12-17459 Boutte v. Biter  
( ) \*\* 12-72513 Silva Vega v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 13-72621 Uehling v. USDC-CAFR

Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

( ) \*\* 12-10287 United States v. Liddle  
( ) \*\* 12-10189 United States v. Garcia  
( ) 13-10116 United States v. Fries  
( ) 12-15436) Riverwood Partners v. Max Baer Productions, Ltd.  
12-15581) 12-16743) 12-17811)

Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \*\* 12-10628 United States v. Vargem  
( ) \*\* 12-15110 Western Watersheds Project v. Kenna  
( ) \*\* 12-15655 Jones v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co  
( ) \*\* 12-16425 Alvarez v. Betlach  
( ) \*\* 12-16427 Hodge v. Oakland Unified School District

Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 09-73799 Torres-Torres v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-71444 Singh v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-15014 Kun v. Mansdorf  
( ) \*\* 12-10437 United States v. Villasenor-Chavez  
( ) \*\* 12-15032 Unite Here! Local 19 v. Sutter's Place  
( ) \*\* 12-15037) Yenidunya Investments, Ltd. v. Magnum Seeds  
12-15413)

Wednesday, February 12, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-71455 Cabrera v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-71556 Fakih v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-10524 United States v. Caballero  
( ) \*\* 12-15059 Ervine v. Desert View Regional Medical Center Holdings  
( ) \*\* 12-15068 Pivonka v. Allstate Ins. Co  
( ) \*\* 12-15660 Klyse v. Colvin

Wednesday, February 12, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-70563 Singh v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-70882 Kuo v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-10609 United States v. Bisla  
( ) \*\* 12-15043 Boesel v. State Farm Fire and Casualty Ins. Co  
( ) \*\* 12-15100 Loos v. Immersion Corp  
( ) \*\* 12-15104 Thurbon v. Gateway Unified School District

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE / \*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE  
[see **Filing Instructions** on the Acknowledgment Form]

Wednesday, February 12, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 07-72851 Guerra v. Holder  
( ) \* 10-71294 Mann v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-17892 Green v. City and County of San Francisco  
( ) \* 12-16325 Moore v. Rios  
( ) \*\* 12-15191 Gedalia v. The Land Holding Group  
( ) 12-10411) United States v. Nunes  
( ) 12-10481) United States v. Sutherland

Wednesday, February 12, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 10-71835 Singh v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-10647 United States v. Quintero-Mendoza  
( ) \* 12-15061 Linlor v. Breslow  
( ) \*\* 10-71889 Cachay-Soriano v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 12-15169 Va Bene Trist v. Washington Mutual Bank  
( ) \*\* 12-15238 EEOC v. Bashas'

Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-71901 Perez-Morales v. Holder [ restored to Courtroom 1 ]  
( ) \* 12-15083 Matz v. Cepia L.L.C.  
( ) \* 13-15085 Lindsay v. Bowen <note added case  
( ) \*\* 12-16428 Johnson v. Myers  
( ) 12-17502) Fifty-Six Hope Road Music, Ltd. v. A.V.E.L.A., INC.  
( ) 12-17519) 12-17595) 13-15407) 13-15473)

Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-71757 Lezama v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 11-73033 Santana v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-10656 United States v. Reece  
( ) \* 12-15204 Yan v. Fu  
( ) \*\* 12-15286) Jernigan v. Elliott  
( ) 12-15429)  
( ) \*\* 12-15294 Universal Grading Service v. eBay Inc.

Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 10-71677 Singh v. Holder  
( ) 11-18034) Resnick v. Netflix  
( ) 12-16160) 12-16183)  
( ) 12-15705) Resnick v. Frank  
( ) 12-15889) 12-15957) 12-15996) 12-16010) 12-16038)

Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 12-15077 Rosales-Martinez v. Palmer <note change of courtroom  
( ) \* 12-16044 Valencia v. Sharp Electronics Corp  
( ) \* 12-70323 Estate of Gertrude H. Saunders v. CIR  
( ) \*\* 11-73400 Estate of Ellen D. Foster v. CIR  
( ) \*\* 12-15266 Lal v. State of California  
( ) \*\* 12-16423) Pinasco v. State of California  
( ) 12-16426)

Friday, February 14, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 12-16434 Estate of James Paul Garcia III v. City of Sacramento  
( ) \* 12-16251 Salmon v. Colvin  
( ) \* 12-16514 Golden v. California Emergency Physicians Medical Group  
( ) \*\* 12-15579 Lee v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan  
( ) \*\* 12-15587 Vathana v. EverBank  
( ) \*\* 12-15686 Garcia v. Commissioner of Social Security

Friday, February 14, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 12-16103 Diaz v. State of Arizona  
( ) \* 12-16469 Bradford v. UPRC  
( ) \* 12-16550 Geddes v. HSBC Bank United States, National Assn.  
( ) \*\* 12-15446 Grube v. Colvin  
( ) \*\* 12-15524 National Union Fire Ins. Co of Pittsburgh, PA v. Aero Jet Services  
( ) \*\* 12-15530 Howard v. Ryder Truck Rental

Friday, February 14, 2014 10:00 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) 10-15270 Horne v. US Department of Agriculture

Friday, February 14, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

( ) \* 10-71763 Aragon-Salazar v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-15045 Coslow v. Intohomes  
( ) \* 12-72279 Gross v. CIR  
( ) \*\* 12-15285 Delta Mechanical v. Garden City Group  
( ) \*\* 12-15359 DePrins v. Clark  
( ) \*\* 12-16467 Bowles v. City of Porterville <note added case

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **Filing Instructions** on the Acknowledgment Form]

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

**U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Electronic Devices Policy**

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

**General Rules:**

1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.
2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).
3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.
4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.