

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LOCATION OF HEARING for MARCH CALENDAR:

Date of Notice:

James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

January 2, 2014

>>> Picture ID required to enter Courthouse <<<  
COUNSEL WILL PLEASE CHECK-IN WITH THE DEPUTY IN THE COURTROOM  
All CJA Counsel call (415) 355-7993 for travel authorization

Monday, March 10, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \*\* 10-17145 Dixon v. Williams  
( ) \*\* 12-10110 United States v. Viramontes  
( ) 10-10005) United States v. King  
12-10622)  
  
( ) 12-10570) United States v. Goldtooth  
12-10571) United States v. Tsosie

Monday, March 10, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 12-10421 United States v. Roman  
( ) \*\* 12-15028 House v. Colvin  
( ) \*\* 12-15102 Contreras v. Colvin  
( ) \*\* 12-15103 Garrison v. Colvin  
( ) \*\* 12-15138 Moua v. Colvin

Monday, March 10, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 11-17273 Perry v. Haws  
( ) \* 12-10548 United States v. Liera-Morales  
( ) \* 13-10028 United States v. Diaz-Benitez  
( ) \*\* 11-16195 Friend v. Hertz Corp  
( ) \*\* 12-15106 Grimsley v. Charles River Laboratories  
( ) \*\* 12-73779 Jara-Arellano v. Holder

Tuesday, March 11, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 13-10046 United States v. Swint  
( ) \* 13-10048 United States v. Stewart  
( ) \* 13-10218 United States v. Argont  
( ) \*\* 12-15170) The Travelers Indemnity Co v. Crown Corr  
12-16663)  
( ) \*\* 12-16573 Allen v. United States  
( ) \*\* 12-16616 Adobe Systems v. Kornrumpf

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

Tuesday, March 11, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \*\* 12-15210 Biggs v. Gilbert Police Dept.  
( ) \*\* 12-15239 Treasury Solutions Holdings v. Upromise  
( ) \*\* 12-16415 Newman v. Corner Investment Co  
( ) \*\* 12-16418 Wilcox v. County of Maricopa  
( ) \*\* 13-10065 United States v. Chen

Tuesday, March 11, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 11-73458 Thomassen v. CIR  
( ) \* 12-15346 Dahlberg v. Cash  
( ) \* 12-73781 Carrion Garcia v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 12-15545 Valiavacharska v. Tinney  
( ) \*\* 12-15650 Cathay Enterprises v. Design Trend Intl Interiors  
( ) \*\* 12-15663 Meritage Homes of Nevada v. FDIC

Wednesday, March 12, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 09-71668 Ortiz v. Holder  
( ) \* 12-16859 Gibbs v. LeGrand  
( ) \* 13-10080 United States v. Thornton  
( ) \*\* 12-15717 Jostes Concrete v. MDC Holdings  
( ) \*\* 12-15734 Sherman v. Loberg  
( ) \*\* 12-15751 St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co v. Vadnais Corp

Wednesday, March 12, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 13-10012 United States v. Christopherson  
( ) \* 13-10153 United States v. Jackson  
( ) \*\* 12-16354 Kludka v. Qwest Disability Plan  
( ) \*\* 12-16369 Bullpen Distribution v. Sentinel Ins. Co  
( ) \*\* 12-16527 Block v. Ebay

Wednesday, March 12, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 11-15899 Egberto v. McDaniel  
( ) \* 12-17131 Orpiada v. McDaniel  
( ) \* 13-10093 United States v. Ruiz-Lopez  
( ) \*\* 12-16345 Kinzer v. Colvin  
( ) \*\* 12-16349 Lam v. City & County of San Francisco  
( ) \*\* 12-16351 Richie v. Colvin

Thursday, March 13, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \*\* 12-17183 Baldwin v. Adams  
( ) \*\* 13-10110 United States v. Barrios-Siguenza  
( ) \*\* 13-73079 Estate for Thomas F. White v. USDC-CASF  
( ) 13-16544 The Arc of California v. Douglas

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **Filing Instructions** on the Acknowledgment Form]

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

Thursday, March 13, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \*\* 12-16346 Vawter v. ReconTrust Co  
( ) \*\* 12-16957 Medina v. Williams  
( ) 12-70049) Teamsters District Council 2, Local 543M v. NLRB  
12-71493) 12-71514)  
( ) 13-16070 Realtek Semiconductor Corp v. LSI Corp

Thursday, March 13, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 09-73753) Ehigie v. Holder  
11-72039)  
( ) \* 11-15463 Trillo v. Biter  
( ) \* 12-15107 Oliner v. Kontrabecki  
( ) \*\* 12-16471 Trompeter v. Ally Financial  
( ) \*\* 12-71891 Kocher v. OWCP  
( ) \*\* 13-10131 United States v. Tillman

Friday, March 14, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 1, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 12-16392 Tobeler v. Colvin  
( ) \* 12-16522 Hughes v. Chenail  
( ) \* 13-10137 United States v. Castro-Lopez  
( ) \*\* 12-16413 Pope v. Aegis Wholesale Corp  
( ) \*\* 12-16453 Malone v. Yee  
( ) \*\* 12-16591 Hacienda Management, S. De R.L. v. Starwood Capital Group Global

Friday, March 14, 2014 9:00 am Courtroom 2, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 11-17337 Payne v. Varga  
( ) \* 11-70473 Li v. Holder  
( ) \* 11-17915 Kieren v. State of Nevada Attorney General  
( ) \*\* 12-15795 Moore v. Helling  
( ) \*\* 12-16430 Police Retirement System of St. Louis v. Intuitive Surgical  
( ) \*\* 12-70902 Ramirez Carrasco v. Holder

Friday, March 14, 2014 9:30 am Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

( ) \* 12-10386 United States v. Bickle  
( ) \* 13-10278 United States v. Fasone  
( ) \* 12-73663 Alshami v. Holder  
( ) \*\* 12-16388) Barrera v. Western United Insurance Co.  
12-16410)  
( ) \*\* 12-16526 Eclectic Properties East v. The Marcus & Millichap Co  
( ) \*\* 12-60052 America's Servicing Co v. Schwartz-Tallard

Wireless Internet Connectivity is now available in the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse

\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 10 MINUTES PER SIDE  
\*\* MAXIMUM ARGUMENT TIME 15 MINUTES PER SIDE  
OTHER CASES 20 MINUTES PER SIDE

PLEASE RETURN ENCLOSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT NOTICE to SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S OFFICE  
[see **Filing Instructions** on the Acknowledgment Form]

[www.ca9.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov)

**U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Electronic Devices Policy**

This policy pertains to the use of electronic devices by the bar, media and the public in the courthouses and other dedicated spaces housing the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. These are the William K. Nakamura U.S. Courthouse in Seattle, the Pioneer U.S. Courthouse in Portland, the James R. Browning U.S. Courthouse in San Francisco, the Richard H. Chambers U.S. Courthouse in Pasadena, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Honolulu. The policy also applies to other places in which the court holds session for special sittings. These include courtrooms in the district courthouses and spaces in law schools and other locations.

Visitors to any of the Ninth Circuit courthouses and dedicated spaces are allowed to carry and make use of various electronic devices as set out by this policy. Different rules may apply when the court meets in another venue, such as a district courthouse. Where conflicts between this policy and that of a district court become known, the chief circuit judge and chief district judge, or their designees, will confer to resolve such conflicts.

**General Rules:**

- 1. Anyone may bring electronic devices, such as a Blackberry, smart phone, laptop computer or a similar functioning device having wireless communications capability into the courthouse.**
- 2. Except for courtrooms, persons may use such devices in public areas of the courthouse to make telephone calls and to transmit and receive data communications, such as email or text messages, or to access the Internet. For reasons of privacy, safety, and security, use of these devices to take photographs or for audio or video recording or transmission is prohibited in the courthouse (exceptions for court staff, authorized vendors or for educational or ceremonial events).**
- 3. In courtrooms, persons may use such devices to take notes, transmit and receive data communications, and access the Internet. This includes media members who are transmitting written accounts of the proceeding to a wider audience using various means. Persons may not use these devices for telephone calls, photographs or audio or video recording or transmission. Telephone ring tones and other functional sounds produced by devices must be disabled while in the courtroom. Only quiet keyboards may be used in the courtrooms.**
- 4. The presiding judge of a judicial panel may prohibit or further restrict use of such devices by all persons prior to or during a proceeding when necessary to protect the rights of the parties or to assure the orderly conduct of the proceedings.**
- 5. This policy will be prominently displayed wherever the court holds session and posted on the court's website. Failure to adhere to the policy may result in removal from the courtroom or other sanction.**