	Case 2:13-cv-01962-ROS Document 34 Filed 10/04/13 Page 1 of 2
1 2	WO
2	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	Edward Harold Schad, Jr., and ) No. CV-13-01962-PHX-ROS
10	Robert Glen Jones, Jr., )   Descriptiffs )
11	Plaintiffs, ) ) vs. ) ORDER
12	
13	Janice K. Brewer, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	)
16	Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Rule 59 Motion to Reconsider Order Denying
17	Preliminary Injunction. A motion to alter or amend judgement under Rule 59(e) of the
18	Federal Rules of Civil procedure is essentially a motion for reconsideration. Rule 59(e)
19	offers an "extraordinary remedy, to be used sparingly in the interests of finality and
20	conservation of judicial resources." Kona Enter., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop, 229 F.3d 877, 890
21	(9th Cir. 2000). The Ninth Circuit has consistently held that a motion brought pursuant to
22	Rule 59(e) should only be granted in "highly unusual circumstances." Id.; see 389 Orange
23	Street Partners v. Arnold, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999). Reconsideration is appropriate
24	only if the court is presented with newly discovered evidence, if there is an intervening
25	change in controlling law, or if the court committed clear error. McDowell v. Calderon, 197
26	F.3d 1253, 1255 (9th Cir. 1999) (per curiam); see School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County,
27	Or. v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).
28	

1 Plaintiffs allege that correspondence to the Court from former Board member Thomas 2 submitted pursuant to this Court's order of October 1, 2013, demonstrates that Defendant 3 Board member Kirschbaum misled the court and may have committed perjury. The Court considered Thomas's letter prior to issuing its detailed ruling and found no discrepancy that 4 5 warranted either further evidentiary exploration or called into question Kirschbaum's 6 credibility. Kirschbaum testified that the three members not reappointed in April 2012 were 7 her good friends and that she was aware they believed they had been ousted because of their 8 vote in the Flibotte case. This is essentially what she apparently tried to communicate to 9 Thomas by showing him the Flibotte clemency recommendation letter. Kirschbaum also 10 testified that she "did not know" whether she shared her former Board members' belief about 11 the reason for *their* ouster and that she did not believe *her* votes would affect whether she got 12 reappointed at the expiration of her term. Nothing in Thomas's correspondence contradicts this testimony. Moreover, the Court accepted as true that Governor Brewer's failure to 13 14 reappoint the former Board members was driven, at least in part, by dissatisfaction with those 15 members' past votes. 16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Rule 59 Motion to Reconsider 17 Order Denying Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 32) is DENIED.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2013.

Roslyn O. Silver Senior United States District Judge