

No. 14-5333

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Joseph Rudolph Wood III, Petitioner,

vs.

Charles L. Ryan, et al, Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

*****CAPITAL CASE*****
EXECUTION SCHEDULED FOR JULY 23, 2014 AT
10:00 AM (MST) / 1:00 P.M. (EDT)

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

JON M. SANDS
Federal Public Defender
District of Arizona

Jennifer Y. Garcia
Counsel of Record
Dale A. Baich
850 West Adams Street, Suite 201
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 382-2816 voice
(602) 889-3960 facsimile
jennifer_garcia@fd.org
dale_baich@fd.org

Julie Hall
779 Cody Loop Road
Oracle, Arizona 85623
(520) 896-2890
julieshall@hotmail.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Wood

In their brief in opposition, Respondents assert that the Ninth Circuit's decision in this case does not conflict with other Circuit Court's decisions, only a local rule. They are wrong. For example, the decision conflicts directly with *Michael v. Wetzel*, No. 12-9006 (3d Cir. Nov. 8, 2012), in which the Third Circuit held that the appellant was entitled to a stay of execution because the district court granted a stay, quoting Third Circuit L.A.R. 111.3(b), "[i]f the district court grants the certificate of appealability . . . it must also grant a stay pending disposition of the appeal" (Ex. 1, attached.) Accordingly, there is a genuine split in the circuit courts.

CONCLUSION

For the preceding reasons, this Court should grant the petition for certiorari.

Respectfully submitted: July 23, 2014.

JON M. SANDS
Federal Public Defender

*Jennifer Y. Garcia (Arizona Bar No. 021782)
Dale A. Baich (Ohio Bar No. 0025070)

Julie S. Hall (Arizona Bar No. 017252)



*Counsel of Record