

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JAN 27 2016

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated; et
al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellees / Cross-
Appellants,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant - Appellant /
Cross-Appellee.

Nos. 14-16078, 15-17420,
15-17532, 16-15000

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-03826-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated; et al.,

Plaintiffs - Appellees / Cross-
Appellants,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; et al,

Defendants - Appellants /
Cross-Appellee,

Nos. 15-16178, 15-16250,
15-17533, 16-15035

D.C. Nos. 3:14-cv-05200-EMC
3:14-cv-05241-EMC
3:15-cv-03009-EMC

Northern District of California,
San Francisco

RONALD GILLETTE,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 15-16181

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-05241-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

HAKAN YUCESOY, on behalf of himself
and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff - Appellee / Cross -
Appellant,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant - Appellant /
Cross-Appellee.

Nos. 15-17422, 15-17534,
16-15001

D.C. No. 3:15-cv-00262-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

RICARDO DEL RIO, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated; and
TONY MEHRDAD SAGHEBIAN, on
behalf of himself and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and

No. 15-17475

D.C. No. 3:15-cv-03667-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

RASIER-CA, LLC, a Delaware Limited
Liability Company,

Defendants - Appellants.

Before: GOODWIN, TALLMAN, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

The motion of appellants Uber Technologies, et al. (“appellants”) to consolidate appeals is granted.

The following appeals from the denial of arbitration are consolidated for all purposes: *O’Connor, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, No. 15-17420; *Yucesoy v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, No. 15-17422; and *Del Rio, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 15-17475.

The following preliminary injunction appeals and cross-appeals are consolidated for all purposes: *O’Connor, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Nos. 15-17532 and 16-15000; *Mohamed v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, Nos. 15-17533 and 16-15035; and *Yucesoy v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Nos. 15-17534 and 16-15001.

Appellants’ motion to expedite briefing and hearing of the following appeals is denied: *O’Connor*, No. 15-17420; *Yucesoy*, No. 15-17422; and *Del Rio*, No. 15-17475.

Appellants' motion to coordinate the hearings of all pending related appeals, and to schedule all the hearings on the same date in April or May 2016, is denied, without prejudice to the court later ordering the coordination of some or all of the related appeals in the normal course of calendaring.

O'Connor, No. 14-16078, is fully briefed.

The principal briefs have been filed in *Mohamed*, No. 15-16178, and its consolidated appeals, *Mohamed*, No. 15-16250 and *Gillette*, No. 15-16181.

The following briefing schedule shall govern the consolidated appeals from the denial of arbitration in *O'Connor*, No. 15-17420; *Yucesoy*, No. 15-17422; and *Del Rio*, No. 15-17475: the consolidated opening brief and excerpts of record are due March 18, 2016; the consolidated answering brief is due April 18, 2016; and the consolidated optional reply brief is due within 14 days after service of the answering brief. All parties on a side are encouraged to join in a single brief to the greatest extent practicable. *See* 9th Cir. R. 28-4.

The following briefing schedule shall govern the consolidated preliminary injunction appeals and cross-appeals in *O'Connor*, Nos. 15-17532 and 16-15000; *Mohamed*, Nos. 15-17533 and 16-15035; and *Yucesoy*, Nos. 15-17534 and 16-15001: the first brief on cross-appeal is due February 4, 2016; the second brief on cross-appeal is due March 3, 2016, or 28 days after service of the

first brief on cross-appeal, whichever is earlier; the third brief on cross-appeal is due March 31, 2016, or 28 days after service of the second brief on cross-appeal, whichever is earlier; and the optional cross-appeal reply brief is due within 14 days after service of the third brief on cross-appeal. *See* 9th Cir. R. 3-3(b). All parties on a side are encouraged to join in a single brief to the greatest extent practicable. *See* 9th Cir. R. 28-4. The parties are also reminded that streamlined requests for extensions of time are not available in preliminary injunction appeals. Any request for an extension of time must be requested under Ninth Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.