

FILED

OCT 13 2016

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED,
individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
RASIER, LLC,

Defendants - Appellants,

And

HIREASE, LLC,

Defendant.

No. 15-16178

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-05200-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

RONALD GILLETTE,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 15-16181

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-05241-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED,
individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
RASIER, LLC,

Defendants,

And

HIREASE, LLC,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 15-16250

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-05200-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

Before: TALLMAN, CLIFTON, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Within 21 days from the filing of this order, Defendants-Appellants Uber Tehnologies, Rasier, and Hirease, shall file responses to the petition for rehearing en banc filed by Plaintiffs-Appellees Mohamed and Gillette on September 21, 2016. The responses shall comply with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32 and Ninth Circuit Rule 40-1.