

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 16 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ZATOLLAH YOUSEFI-TALOURI, a.k.a.
Zatollah Yousefi Talouki,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 08-72816

Agency No. A076-633-200

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 1, 2008 **

Before: GOODWIN, CLIFTON and BEA, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")
order denying petitioner's motion to reopen removal proceedings.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review the BIA's ruling on a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. *Perez v. Mukasey*, 516 F.3d 770, 773 (9th Cir. 2008).

An alien who is subject to a final order of removal is limited to filing one motion to reopen removal proceedings, and that motion must be filed within 90 days of the date of entry of a final order of removal. 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(7)(A), (C)(i); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2). The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioner's motion to reopen because it was filed on May 9, 2008, more than 90 days after the February 6, 2004 final order of removal. *See id.* Accordingly, we deny this petition for review in part because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's decision declining to exercise its sua sponte authority to reopen proceedings. *See Ekimian v. INS*, 303 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction is granted.

The motion for a stay of removal pending review is denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.