

JAN 20 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>KATIJA BAHAR HAZEM,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>

No. 06-74063

Agency No. A098-533-029

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 13, 2009**

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Katija Bahar Hazem, a native of Afghanistan and citizen of Germany,
petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order affirming an
immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying her application for asylum and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1015 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The discrimination and harassment Hazem suffered as an Afghani immigrant in Germany does not compel a finding of past persecution. *See id.* at 1016-18. Further, substantial evidence supports the IJ's conclusion that Hazem has not established an objective fear of future persecution. *See Singh v. INS*, 134 F.3d 962, 970 (9th Cir. 1998).

Because Hazem has not met the standard for asylum, she necessarily cannot meet the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Alvarez-Santos v. INS*, 332 F.3d 1245, 1255 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.