

JAN 22 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>LAURA FLORES-HERNANDEZ,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
---

No. 06-73565

Agency No. A029-565-197

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 13, 2009\*\*

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Laura Flores-Hernandez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying her application for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review questions of law de novo. *Altamirano v. Gonzales*, 427 F.3d 586, 591 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA correctly affirmed the IJ's removal order based on its prior determination that Flores-Hernandez was ineligible for cancellation of removal. *See Lolong v. Gonzales*, 484 F.3d 1173, 1175 (9th Cir. 2007) (en banc) (BIA need not remand to the IJ for issuance of a removal order where a determination of removability was already made by the IJ).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**