

JAN 28 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>RONG LING LI,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>

No. 08-71363

Agency No. A072-856-210

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 20, 2009**

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing petitioner’s appeal of the Immigration Judge’s denial of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

08-71363

petitioner's motion for leave to file a successive asylum application. Upon review of the record and petitioner's opening brief, respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Petitioner's argument that she can file a successive asylum application without having to submit a motion to reopen is foreclosed by this court's decision in *Chen v. Mukasey*, 524 F.3d 1028 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding that the agency properly concluded that an alien could file a successive asylum application only in connection with a motion to reopen, subject to the time and number limitations). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.