

JAN 29 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA ANTONIETA HERNANDEZ  
MARTINEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73302

Agency Nos. A088-115-397  
A088-115-398

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 20, 2009\*\*

Before: O’SANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review from the Board of Immigration Appeals’  
 (“BIA”) denial of a motion to reopen immigration proceedings. We review the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

BIA's denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. *See Perez v. Mukasey*, 516 F.3d 770, 773 (9th Cir. 2008).

Petitioners' claim for protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT") failed to present evidence of changed country conditions in Mexico that are material to petitioners and their circumstances. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii). Because petitioners have failed to meet their burden of establishing a prima facie CAT claim to support reopening, the BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion.

Accordingly, respondent's unopposed motion for summary denial of the petition for review is granted because the questions raised by this petition are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**