

FEB 26 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JORGE DANIEL RAMIREZ-ARMAS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-50156

D.C. No. 3:07-cr-02898-LAB-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of California
Larry A. Burns, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 18, 2009**

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Jorge Daniel Ramirez-Armas appeals from the 87-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for importation of methamphetamine and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

aiding and abetting, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 and 960.

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Ramirez-Armas contends that the district court erred by denying a minor role adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2(b). The district court did not err. *See United States v. Cantrell*, 433 F.3d 1269, 1282 (9th Cir. 2006); *United States v. Hursh*, 217 F.3d 761, 770 (9th Cir. 2000).

Ramirez-Armas also contends that his sentence is unreasonable because the district court failed to consider the fact that he provided the government with all the facts utilized by the district court at sentencing. We conclude that the sentence is reasonable. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 993 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

AFFIRMED.