

MAR 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

YAKELINE URBANO-SANCHEZ;
ALEXANDER LONDONO-URBANO,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-72002

Agency Nos. A079-415-510
A079-415-509

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 18, 2009**

Before: BEEZER, FERNANDEZ, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Yakeline Urbano-Sanchez and her son Alexander Londono-Urbano, natives and citizens of Colombia, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's removal order.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252, and we grant the petition for review and remand for further proceedings.

The BIA determined that Urbano-Sanchez's failure to provide her fingerprints before her removal hearing was a sufficient reason to deny her relief application. The BIA, however, did not have the benefit of our intervening decision in *Cui v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 1289 (9th Cir. 2008), which held that the denial of a continuance for fingerprint processing prior to April 2005 may be an abuse of discretion. We therefore remand for the BIA to reconsider its dismissal of petitioners' appeal. *See id.* at 1292-95; *see also Karapetyan v. Mukasey*, 543 F.3d 1118, 1129-32 (9th Cir. 2008).

Petitioners' motion to remand is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.