

APR 23 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ANDRAY STAGGERS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LYLE BROADHEAD, Deputy Warden; et  
al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 07-17251

D.C. No. CV-06-00590-DCB

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
David C. Bury, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 13, 2009\*\*

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Andray Staggars, an Arizona state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing without prejudice his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

failure to exhaust administrative remedies pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1117 (9th Cir. 2003), and we affirm.

The district court properly dismissed the action because McCarthy did not complete the prison grievance process prior to filing suit. *See Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 93-95 (2006) (holding that “proper exhaustion” under § 1997e(a) requires adherence to administrative procedural rules).

We will not consider Stagers’ newly-raised contention that a prison official named Richards allegedly did not allow him to grieve his claim. *See Smith v. Marsh*, 194 F.3d 1045, 1052 (9th Cir. 1999) (explaining that, as a general rule, the court will not consider arguments that are raised for the first time on appeal).

Stagers’ remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

**AFFIRMED.**