

JUL 27 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MARINE MANUKYAN; et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
---

No. 06-73902

Agency Nos. A079-536-631  
A079-536-632  
A079-536-633

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 14, 2009 \*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, THOMAS, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Marine Manukyan, Ruben Manukyan, and their son, natives and citizens of Armenia, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

application for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review the agency's adverse credibility determination for substantial evidence, *Gui v. INS*, 280 F.3d 1217, 1225 (9th Cir. 2002), and deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's adverse credibility determination because Manukyan's testimony was inconsistent with documentary evidence in the record with respect to her "whistle blowing" activities. *See Goel v. Gonzales*, 490 F.3d 735, 739 (9th Cir. 2007) (inconsistencies between testimony and documentary evidence support an adverse credibility finding where inconsistencies go to the heart of the claim).

In the absence of credible testimony, Manukyan failed to establish eligibility for asylum or withholding of removal. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**