

JUL 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>HILLARY WALLS,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>PIERCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants - Appellees.</p>

No. 08-35314

D.C. No. 3:07-cv-05152-RJB

MEMORANDUM *

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
Robert J. Bryan, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 29, 2009**

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Hillary Walls appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment for defendants in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that defendants violated his

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

procedural due process rights by failing to return property taken pursuant to several search warrants. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a summary judgment. *Aguilera v. Baca*, 510 F.3d 1161, 1167 (9th Cir. 2007). We affirm.

The district court properly entered summary judgment because Walls filed his complaint more than three years after his claims accrued. *See Wilson v. Garcia*, 471 U.S. 261, 279-80 (1985) (holding that the limitations period for section 1983 claims is determined by the state statute of limitations for personal injury actions); Wash. Rev. Code § 4.16.080(2) (setting at three years the statute of limitations for personal injury actions and actions for taking, detaining, or injuring personal property).

Although Washington law provides that the statute of limitations is tolled when a person is “imprisoned on a criminal charge prior to sentencing,” Wash. Rev. Code § 4.16.190(1), Walls failed to offer any evidence that this provision rendered his claims timely in this case. *See Rivas v. Overlake Hosp. Med. Ctr.*, 189 P.3d 753, 755-56 (Wash. 2008) (en banc) (holding that plaintiff bears the burden of establishing tolling under § 4.16.190).

Walls’s remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

AFFIRMED.