

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 17 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

PIEDAD BARAJAS-AVALOS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-30243

D.C. No. 3:00-cr-00537-JAR

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Oregon  
James A. Redden, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 11, 2009\*\*

Before: KLEINFELD, M. SMITH, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Piedad Barajas-Avalos appeals from the two concurrent 210-month sentences imposed upon resentencing following his jury-trial conviction for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

conspiracy to manufacture a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii), and 846, and manufacturing and attempt to manufacture methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Barajas-Avalos' counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.