FILED

AUG 31 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RIKA FRISTDA SIRINGO RINGO, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 07-72159 Agency No. A095-875-142 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 20, 2009[**]

Before: WALLACE, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Rika Fristda Siringo Ringo, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her application for asylum and

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

KV/Research

withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 n.1 (1992), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency denied Siringo Ringo's asylum claim as time-barred. Siringo Ringo does not challenge this finding in her opening brief.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's finding that Siringo Ringo's experiences in Indonesia did not rise to the level of persecution. *See Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1016-17 (9th Cir. 2003). In addition, substantial evidence supports the BIA's conclusion that as even a member of a disfavored group, Siringo Ringo failed to establish a clear probability of persecution because she did not demonstrate sufficient individualized risk. *See Hoxha v. Ashcroft*, 319 F.3d 1179, 1185 (9th Cir. 2003). Lastly, the record does not compel the conclusion that Siringo Ringo established a pattern or practice of persecution against Christians in Indonesia. *See Lolong v. Gonzales*, 484 F.3d 1173, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2007) (en banc). Accordingly, Siringo Ringo's withholding of removal claim fails.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.