

SEP 28 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JEMMY GUNAWAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-70187

Agency No. A096-364-717

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Jemmy Gunawan, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for withholding of removal.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1015 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's conclusion that Gunawan failed to establish that he suffered past persecution in Indonesia. *See Wakkary v. Holder*, 558 F.3d 1049, 1059-60 (9th Cir. 2009). Substantial evidence also supports the agency's conclusion that Gunawan failed to establish a clear probability of future persecution because he submitted no evidence of individualized risk of future harm, *see Lolong v. Gonzales*, 484 F.3d 1173, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2007) (en banc), and because he has similarly situated family members who remain in Indonesia without harm, *see Hakeem v. INS*, 273 F.3d 812, 816-17 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, his withholding of removal claim fails. *See id.* at 817.

In his opening brief, Gunawan does not challenge the agency's denial of asylum on timeliness grounds or his claim under the Convention Against Torture. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.