FILED

SEP 29 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LETICIA RODRIGUEZ NAVA, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 04-74508 Agency No. A095-297-499 MEMORANDUM[*]

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 14, 2009[**]

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Leticia Rodriguez Nava, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her motion

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

JTK/Research

to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

In her opening brief, Rodriguez Nava fails to address, and thereby waives any challenge to, the BIA's order denying her motion to reopen. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996) (issues not specifically raised and argued in a party's opening brief are waived).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's underlying order summarily affirming an immigration judge's decision denying Rodriguez Nava's application for cancellation of removal, because this petition for review is not timely as to that order. *See Singh v. INS*, 315 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.