FILED

SEP 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDWARD C. TADEFA, Petitioner - Appellant, v. UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION; et al., Respondents - Appellees.	No. 07-56043 D.C. No. CV-06-03258-VBK MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Victor B. Kenton, Magistrate Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Edward C. Tadefa appeals pro se from the district court's judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition for writ of habeas corpus. We dismiss.

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

SZ/Research

Tadefa contends that the special mental health aftercare requirement imposed as a condition of his release violates his Fifth Amendment protection against self-incrimination. Because this issue is not yet ripe for review, we dismiss. *See United States v. Streich*, 560 F.3d 926, 931-32 (9th Cir. 2009); *Cf. United States v. Antelope*, 395 F.3d 1128, 1132-33 (9th Cir. 2005).

Tadefa's motion to supplement the record is denied. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 10(e); *see also Daly-Murphy v. Winston*, 837 F.2d 348, 351 (9th Cir. 1987) (recognizing that this court construes Rule 10(e) narrowly and has held that "normally the reviewing court will not supplement the record on appeal with material not considered by the trial court.").

Tadefa's motion for appointment of counsel is denied as moot.

DISMISSED.