

OCT 01 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CEASAR ANTARAN MONTOJO,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71617

Agency No. A041-895-852

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Cesar Antaran Montojo, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review from the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law. *Cazarez-Gutierrez v. Ashcroft*, 382 F.3d 905-909 (9th Cir. 2004). We deny the petition for review.

We reject Antaran Montojo’s contention that the two-year sentence he incurred cannot be attributed to his burglary offense for the purposes of 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)(G). *See United States v. Jimenez*, 258 F.3d 1120, 1125 (9th Cir. 2001) (“the fact that [the] term of imprisonment was not imposed until after [appellant] violated his probation is not legally significant”).

Antaran Montojo urges us to apply reasoning from *United States v. Corona-Sanchez*, 291 F.3d 1201 (9th Cir. 2002) (en banc); however, *Corona-Sanchez* is inapplicable because it did not address sentences imposed after a probation violation. Accordingly, the petition for review is denied.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.