

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

OCT 13 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JAMES BLAKE RAMSEY, aka Blake
Ramsey,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

TERESA SLOAN-KENTNER; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-56169

D.C. No. 5:07-cv-01096-JSL-SH

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
J. Spencer Letts, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

James Blake Ramsey appeals pro se from the district court's judgment
dismissing without prejudice his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging constitutional

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

violations and fraud in connection with an unlawful detainer proceeding. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Rhoades v. Avon Prods., Inc.*, 504 F.3d 1151, 1156 (9th Cir. 2007), and we affirm.

Ramsey does not raise any contentions concerning the grounds for dismissal, and we thus deem any such challenge abandoned. *See Cook v. Schriro*, 538 F.3d 1000, 1014 n.5 (9th Cir. 2008), *cert. denied*, 129 S. Ct. 1033 (2009). Ramsey's procedural challenges are unavailing.

AFFIRMED.