

NOV 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>SHANNI KUMAR,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>

No. 07-72371

Agency No. A077-428-657

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 13, 2009**

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Shanni Kumar, a native and citizen of Fiji, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Kumar's untimely motion to reopen because the motion was supported only by general articles related to political unrest in Fiji and provided insufficient information relating specifically to Kumar. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *see also Konstantinova v. INS*, 195 F.3d 528, 530 (9th Cir. 1999) (evidence introduced in support of motion to reopen based on changed country conditions was "too general" to demonstrate well-founded fear of future persecution).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.