

NOV 02 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>PAUL ARMENTA-BUENO,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 08-10122

D.C. No. 4:07-cr-00948-DSD

MEMORANDUM \*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
David S. Doty, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 13, 2009\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Paul Armenta-Bueno appeals from the two concurrent 70-month sentences imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to possess with intent

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(vii), and 846, and possession with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(vii).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Armenta-Bueno's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.