

NOV 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>CECILIO MEJIA JUAREZ,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>

No. 06-74658

Agency No. A076-708-426

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 17, 2009**

Before: ALARCÓN, TROTT, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Cecilio Mejia Juarez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) order denying his application for asylum, cancellation

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of removal, and voluntary departure. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Popa v. Holder*, 571 F.3d 890, 894 (9th Cir. 2009), and we dismiss in part and deny in part the petition for review.

We do not consider Mejia Juarez's challenge to the IJ's adverse credibility determination because the IJ's alternative finding that Mejia Juarez did not establish past persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution on account of a protected ground is dispositive of Mejia Juarez's asylum claim, and he did not challenge this finding before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004) (this court generally lacks jurisdiction to review contentions not exhausted).

We also do not consider Mejia Juarez's contentions regarding the fairness of his hearing and continuous presence in the United States because he failed to raise his due process and cancellation of removal claims before the BIA. *See id.*

Mejia Juarez's contention that his notice to appear was defective because it did not specify the date and time of his removal hearing is foreclosed by *Popa*, 571 F.3d at 896 (NTA and hearing notice combined satisfied requirements of 8 U.S.C. § 1229(a)(1)(G)(i)).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part.