

NOV 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUDYANTO,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-75403

Agency No. A096-361-809

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 17, 2009\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, TROTT, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Rudyanto, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for withholding of removal.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence adverse credibility determinations, *Chebchoub v. INS*, 257 F.3d 1038, 1042 (9th Cir. 2001), and review de novo due process claims, *Colmenar v. INS*, 210 F.3d 967, 971 (9th Cir. 2000). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's adverse credibility determination because Rudyanto's testimony was inconsistent with his declaration concerning the year of the second attack, and the location where he regained consciousness following this attack. *See Chebchoub*, 257 F.3d at 1043 (9th Cir. 2001) (inconsistencies in the details of events that form the basis for the asylum claim go to the heart of the claim and support an adverse credibility finding).

We reject Rudyanto's due process contention that he was not afforded with a competent interpreter at the merits hearing because he has failed to show "a better translation would have made a difference in the outcome of the hearing." *Kotasz v. INS*, 31 F.3d 847, 850 n.2 (9th Cir. 1994).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**