

NOV 30 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CARLOS ARMANDO GUEVARA
GUZMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-72463

Agency No. A098-349-022

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 17, 2009**

Before: ALARCÓN, TROTT, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Carlos Armando Guevara Guzman, a native and citizen of El Salvador,
petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *Cruz-Navarro v. INS*, 232 F.3d 1024, 1028 (9th Cir. 2000). We deny the petition for review.

Guevara Guzman testified gang members attacked him because they believed he had money and/or other items they wanted. Substantial evidence supports the agency's conclusion that Guevara Guzman failed to demonstrate that he was harmed or has a well-founded fear of harm on account of a protected ground. *See Sangha v. INS*, 103 F.3d 1482, 1486-87 (9th Cir. 2007); *see also Parussimova v. Mukasey*, 555 F.3d 734, 740-41 (9th Cir. 2009) (the protected ground has to be "one central reason" for persecution). Accordingly, Guevara Guzman's claims for asylum and withholding of removal fail.

To the extent Guevara Guzman challenges the BIA's denial of his motion to reconsider, we lack jurisdiction to consider it because Guevara Guzman did not petition for review of that order. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1258 (9th Cir. 1996).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

