

DEC 14 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>SANTOS HATFIELD,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 07-10447

D.C. No. CR-05-00359-FCD

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Frank C. Damrell, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 17, 2009**

Before: ALARCÓN, TROTT, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Santos Hatfield appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 92-month sentence for being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 922(g)(1). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Hatfield's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Hatfield has filed a pro se supplemental opening brief and a pro se reply brief, and the government has filed an answering brief.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.