

DEC 14 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>DANIEL MARTINEZ-HERNANDEZ,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-71999

Agency No. A097-365-540

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 17, 2009**

Before: ALARCÓN, TROTT, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Daniel Martinez-Hernandez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying his application for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

cancellation of removal. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo constitutional claims, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001), and we dismiss in part and deny in part the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider any contention regarding the IJ's determination that Martinez-Hernandez failed to establish eligibility for cancellation of removal, 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b), because Martinez-Hernandez did not challenge this determination before the BIA, *see Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004).

Martinez-Hernandez' equal protection claim is unpersuasive.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part.