

DEC 16 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALEJANDRO MORENO-ALVIZO, aka  
Alejandro Moreno,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73906

Agency No. A090-982-194

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 14, 2009\*\*  
San Francisco, California

Before: NOONAN, KLEINFELD and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Because section 261.5(d) of the California Penal Code lacks the element of knowledge required by 18 U.S.C. § 2243, it does not meet the definition of the generic federal crime of “sexual abuse of a minor” under *Estrada-Espinoza v.*

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

*Mukaskey*, 546 F.3d 1147, 1152 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc). See *Pelayo-Garcia v. Holder*, \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_ (9th Cir. 2009). Likewise, because section 261.5(d) does not expressly include abuse of a minor as an element of the crime and is not limited to conduct targeting younger children, it fails to meet the alternative test for “sexual abuse of a minor” articulated by *United States v. Medina-Villa*, 567 F.3d 507, 513 (9th Cir. 2009). See *Pelayo-Garcia*, \_\_\_ F.3d at \_\_\_. Accordingly, the BIA erred in determining that Moreno-Alvizo’s prior conviction is categorically an aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)(A). *Id.* at \_\_\_.

The government has not asked us to undertake a modified categorical analysis, nor do the conviction documents in the record establish that petitioner was convicted of a crime involving sexual conduct with a younger child. Accordingly, the IJ and BIA erred in concluding that petitioner was deportable due to his prior conviction

**PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED.**