

JAN 19 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>PURNOMO SUSEKO,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>

No. 06-70922

Agency No. A096-345-430

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 11, 2010**

Before: BEEZER, TROTT, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Purnomo Suseko, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for withholding of removal

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

and relief under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review factual findings for substantial evidence. *Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1184-85 (9th Cir. 2006). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA’s conclusion that the presumption that Suseko is eligible for withholding of removal is rebutted by fundamental changed circumstances, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1208.16(b)(1)(i)(A), because the BIA’s analysis of the State Department report was sufficiently individualized and its resolution of potentially contradictory statements in the report was rational, *see Gonzalez-Hernandez v. Ashcroft*, 336 F.3d 995, 998-99 (9th Cir. 2003).

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s denial of CAT relief because Suseko has failed to show, as a moderate Muslim, that it is more likely than not that he will be tortured if returned to Indonesia. *See Wakkary v. Holder*, 558 F.3d 1049, 1067-68 (9th Cir. 2009).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.