

JAN 21 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VERONICA GASGA SIERRA; CIRILO  
ANTONIO SIERRA VASQUEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74375

Agency Nos. A075-476-095  
A075-475-327

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 11, 2010\*\*

Before: BEEZER, TROTT, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Veronica Gasga Sierra and Cirilo Antonio Sierra Vasquez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA acted within its discretion in denying as untimely petitioners' motion to reopen because it was filed more than 90 days after the BIA's final removal order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and petitioners did not show that they acted with the due diligence required for equitable tolling, *see Singh v. Gonzales*, 491 F.3d 1090, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2007).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**