**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 26 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SVITLANA BILA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-73770

Agency No. A097-348-340

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 16, 2010\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, GOULD, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Svitlana Bila, a native and citizen of Ukraine, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Bila's motion to reopen as untimely because it was filed almost nine months after the final order of deportation, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b)(1) (motion to reopen must be filed within ninety days of final order of deportation), and Bila failed to establish that any of the regulatory exceptions apply, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b)(4).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.